

Analysis of Candidates of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Goa and Manipur (2012)

Highlights

- National Election Watch (NEW) have analyzed the affidavits submitted to the Election Commission of India of 3268 major political party candidates in the five states of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Goa and Manipur.
- 28% candidates i.e. (906 out of 3268 analyzed) have self declared criminal charges as per their affidavits in the previous Assembly Elections. 383 Candidates out of these 3268 analyzed have declared serious IPC charges like murder and attempt to murder charges against them.
- Uttar Pradesh has the maximum percentage of Candidates, 35% (759 of 2195) with declared criminal cases, followed by Goa with 23% (30 of 129), Uttarakhand 19 % (53 of 278), Punjab 15% (61 of 408) and Manipur 1 % (3 of 258).
- In Uttar Pradesh out of the 759 candidates with criminal charges, as given above, 340(15%) Candidates have declared serious criminal cases against themselves followed by Punjab with 22(5%) candidates, Uttarakhand with 14(5%) and Goa 7(5%) with candidates. Manipur has no candidates with any serious criminal charges.
- Atiq Ahmed of Apna Dal in Uttar Pradesh has the highest 44 number of criminal cases, followed by Brijesh Singh alias Arun Kumar Singh of Pragatisheel Manav Samaj Party in Uttar Pradesh with 39 cases and Mitra Sen also of Uttar Pradesh from SP with 36 cases declared against him. The charges are related to murder, attempt to murder etc.
- A total of 1525(47%) Candidates out of 3268 analyzed in the 5 states are crorepatris. 71 % (92 out of 129) candidates analyzed in Goa are crorepatris followed by 65 % (266 out of 408) in Punjab, 48 % (1046 out of 2195) in Uttar Pradesh, 32% (88 out of 278) in Uttarakhand and 13% (33 out of 258) in Manipur.
- The highest average asset increase of re-contesting MLAs from the outgoing assembly has been for Punjab with an average asset growth of Rs. 6.12 Crores(133%), followed by Goa with Rs. 5.39 Crores (200%), Uttar Pradesh with Rs. 2.35 Crores(194%), Manipur with Rs. 98.08 lakhs(414%) and Uttarakhand with Rs. 92.30 Lakhs(106%).
- A total of 1162(36%) candidates have not declared their Income Tax Returns. Manipur has 66%(170 out of 258) followed by Uttar Pradesh 36% (791 out of 2195), Punjab 23% (92 out of 408), Uttarakhand 36% (99 out of 278) and Goa 8% (10 out of 129)
- Out of 9210 Total Candidates in the 5 states only 771(8%) are women. Uttar Pradesh has 594(9%) of 6850, Punjab has 93(9%) of 1078, Uttarakhand has 63(8%) of 788, Manipur has 12(4%) of 279 and Goa has 9(4%) of 215 candidates.

Background

Association for Democratic Reforms (ADR) and National Election Watch (NEW), a nationwide campaign comprising of more than 1200 NGOs and other citizen led organizations, has been working on electoral reforms, improving democracy and governance in India. National Election Watch (NEW) groups in the five states of Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Goa and Manipur have analyzed the affidavits of 3268 Candidates in the 5 states.

This report is based on the analysis of these affidavits. The following pages give state- wise analysis of criminal, financial and other background information of the Candidates.

Representation of Political Parties in all the 5 states

State	Total No. of Parties Contesting Elections in 2007	Total No. of Parties Contesting Elections in 2012	% Growth of Political Parties Contesting Elections	Total number of Candidates Contesting Elections in 2007	Total Number of Candidates Contesting Elections in 2012	% Growth of Candidates Contesting Elections
Uttar Pradesh	131	224	71%	6086	6850	13%
Punjab	36	37	3%	1043	1078	3%
Uttarakhand	38	45	18%	785	788	0.3%
Manipur	19	17	-11%	308	279	-9%
Goa	12	17	42%	202	215	6%
Total	236	340	44%	8424	9210	9%

Table: Representation of Political Parties State-Wise

A total of 9210 candidates representing 340 political parties are in fray in 2012 Assembly Elections in Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Manipur and Goa. In 2007 there were 8436 candidates from 236 political parties.

Analysis Based on Declared Criminal Cases

Declared Criminal Cases against Candidates

- There are 906 Candidates out of the 3268 analyzed, (i.e. about 28 %) who have criminal cases declared against them as per their self sworn affidavits given to the Election Commission.
- Out of the 906 Candidates analyzed with declared criminal cases, 383 have declared serious IPC charges like murder and attempt to murder charges against them.
- Uttar Pradesh has the maximum % of Candidates (35%) with declared criminal cases, with 759 out of 2195 Candidates charged with criminal cases. Out of the 759, 340(15%) Candidates have serious criminal cases declared against them with charges relating to murder, attempt to murder etc.

Declared criminal cases: State- wise

Given below is the state-wise list of Candidates with declared criminal cases in the 5 respective assemblies:

State	Total Number of Candidates Contesting	Total No. of Candidates Analyzed	Candidates with Declared Criminal Cases	% of Candidates with Declared Criminal Cases	Candidates with Serious Declared Criminal Cases	% of Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases
Uttar Pradesh	6850	2195	759	35%	340	15%
Punjab	1078	408	61	15%	22	5%
Uttarakhand	788	278	53	19%	14	5%
Manipur	279	258	3	1%	0	0%
Goa	215	129	30	23%	7	5%
Total	9210	3268	906	28%	383	12%

Table: Declared Criminal Cases State-Wise

Top 3 Candidates with declared serious criminal cases (State Wise)

Sl. No.	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Total No. of Cases	Serious IPC Counts	Brief Details of Serious IPC Counts
Uttar Pradesh							
1	BRIJESH SINGH URF ARUN KUMAR SINGH	CHANDAULI	Saiyadraja	PRAGAT ISHIL MANAV SAMAJ PARTY	39	57	25 charges related to murder 22 charges related to attempt to murder 2 charges related to waging of war against GOI And others
2	ATIQ AHMAD	ALLAHABAD	Allahabad West	Apna Dal	44	26	6 charges related to Murder 6 charges related to Attempt to murder 5 charges related to kidnapping or abducting in order to murder And others
3	MITRASEN	FAIZABAD	Bikapur	SP	36	26	3 charges related to murder 11 charges related to attempt to murder 5 charges related to dacoity And others
Punjab							
1	Ranjit Singh Talwandi	Ludhiana	Khanna	SAD	3	3	1 charge related to murder 1 charge related to Voluntarily causing grievous hurt And others
2	Bibi Jagir Kaur	Kapurthala	Bholath	SAD	1	3	1 charge related to murder 1 charges related to Kidnapping or abducting with intent secretly and wrongfully to confine person And Others
3	KAMAL DEV	Jalandhar	Kartarpur (SC)	BSP	2	2	1 charges related to Deliberate and malicious acts, intended to outrage religious feelings or any class by insulting its religion or religious beliefs And Others
Uttarakhand							
1	KISHORE UPADYAYA	TEHRI GARHWAL	TEHRI	INC	3	3	1 charges related to undue influence or personation at an election 1 charges related to False statement in connection with an election And Others

Sl. No.	Name	District	Constituency	Party	Total No. of Cases	Serious IPC Counts	Brief Details of Serious IPC Counts
3	DINESH AGARWAL	DEHRADUN	DHARAMPUR	INC	2	2	1 charges related to Bribery 1 charges related to False statement in connection with an election And Others
2	RAMSUKH	DEHRADUN	DHARAMPUR	Bahujan Sangharsh Party	12	3	1 charge related to extortion 2 charges related to theft in dwelling house, etc. And others
Manipur							
1	Alhaj Md. Ahmed Ali	Imphal East	Andro	INC	2	0	2 charges related to Forgery for purpose of cheating 2 charges related to Punishment of criminal conspiracy And Others
2	Laishram Kadamjit Singh	Thoubal	Wangkhem	MSCP	1	0	1 charges related to defamation And Others
3	Kongkhom Maglem Singh	Imphal West	Mayang Imphal	AITC	1	0	3 charges related to Motor Vehicle Act 1988
Goa							
1	Govind Gaude	NORTH GOA	Priol	IND	2	1	1 charges related to Attempt to murder And Others
2	FRANCISCO XAVIER PACHECO	SOUTH GOA	Nuven	GVP	10	2	1 charges related to extortion 1 charges related to Abetment of suicide And Others
3	Arjun Anil Salgaocar	SOUTH GOA	Sanvordem	IND	3	1	1 charges related to theft And Others

Table: Top 3 Candidates with declared serious criminal cases (State Wise)

Analysis Based on Assets

A total of 1525 Candidates out of 3268 analyzed i.e. 47% of the total number of Candidates (analyzed) contesting in the 5 states are crorepati. 71% of Candidates analyzed of Goa are crorepati followed by 65% of Candidates of Punjab and 48% of Candidates of Uttar Pradesh.

State-wise crorepati Candidates

State	Total Number of Candidates Contesting	Total Candidates Analyzed	Crorepati	% Crorepati Candidates
Uttar Pradesh	6850	2195	1046	48%
Punjab	1078	408	266	65%
Uttarakhand	788	278	88	32%
Manipur	279	258	33	13%
Goa	215	129	92	71%
Total	9210	3268	1525	47%

Table: Crorepati Candidates State-Wise

Top 3 Candidates of each state with maximum assets

The following are the top 3 Candidates of each state with maximum assets:

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Movable Assets (Rs)	Immovable Assets (Rs)	Total Assets (Rs)
Uttar Pradesh						
1	NAJIR AHMED	Agra South	INC	1,30,64,36,029 130 Cror+	10,75,00,000 10 Cror+	1,41,39,36,029 141 Cror+
2	NAND GOPAL	Allahabad South	BSP	84,05,19,000 84 Cror+	11,21,00,000 11 Cror+	95,26,19,000 95 Cror+
3	NAWAB KAZIM ALI KHAN	Suar	INC	2,60,33,381 2 Cror+	54,29,00,000 54 Cror+	56,89,33,381 56 Cror+
Punjab						
1	Karan Kaur	Muktsar	INC	93,78,782 93 Lacs+	1,27,50,00,000 127 Cror+	1,28,43,78,782 128 Cror+
2	Sukhbir Singh Badal	Jalalabad	SAD	41,97,67,995 41 Cror+	48,88,33,696 48 Cror+	90,86,01,691 90 Cror+
3	Kewal Singh Dhillon	Barnala	INC	10,26,58,028 10 Cror+	68,25,00,000 68 Cror+	78,51,58,028 78 Cror+
Uttarakhand						
1	Rajesh Shukla	KICHHA	BJP	63,70,517 63 Lacs+	26,00,00,000 26 Cror+	26,63,70,517 26 Cror+
2	AMRITA RAWAT	RAMNAGAR	INC	3,53,69,827 3 Cror+	10,04,16,500 10 Cror+	13,57,86,327 13 Cror+
3	PURAN SINGH	RANIKHET	BSP	37,70,000 37 Lacs+	8,05,00,000 8 Cror+	8,42,70,000 8 Cror+
Manipur						
1	T. N. Haokip	Saikot(ST)	INC	7,82,43,345 7 Cror+	2,25,00,000 2 Cror+	10,07,43,345 10 Cror+
2	Alfred Kan-ngam Arthur	Ukhrul	INC	3,20,000 3 Lacs+	7,85,00,000 7 Cror+	7,88,20,000 7 Cror+
3	Ksh. Biren Singh	Lamlai	INC	2,79,90,426 2 Cror+	2,42,32,005 2 Cror+	5,22,22,431 5 Cror+
Goa						
1	Dinar Purshottam Kamat Tarcar	St. Cruz	MGP	2,11,61,75,182 211 Cror+	14,99,584 14 Lac+	2,11,76,74,766 211 Cror+
2	Nirmala Sawant	Cumbarjua	IND	17,38,64,488 17 Cror+	18,91,39,911 18 Cror+	36,30,04,399 36 Cror+
3	Joaquim Alemao	Cuncolim	INC	27,66,53,995 27 Cror+	3,60,00,575 3 Cror+	31,26,54,570 31 Cror+

Table: Top 3 Candidates of each state with maximum assets

Low Asset Analysis of Candidates

The following table gives the list of Candidates with the lowest assets in their respective states:

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Movable Assets (Rs)	Immovable Assets (Rs)	Total Assets (Rs)
Uttar Pradesh						
1	Mahendra	Babina	Bundelkhand Congress	0	0	0
2	SUSHEEL	Rasara	JD(U)	1000 1 thou+	0	1000 1 thou+
3	SAURAV KUMAR	Kheragarh	Bundelkhand Congress	4650 4 thou+	0	4650 4 thou+
Punjab						
1	PREM MASHI	Dera Baba Nanak	BSP	1000 1 thou+	0	1000 1 thou+
2	Mohinder Singh	Nihal Singhwala (SC)	CPI	10,964 10 thou+	0	10,964 10 thou+
3	Sukhjot Singh	Dharamkot	IND	15,000 15 thou+	0	15,000 15 thou+
Uttarakhand						
1	DINESH ARYA	GANGOLIHAT (SC)	UKD (P)	0	0	0
2	VINOD KUMAR	NAINITAL (SC)	UKD (P)	40,000 40 thou+	0	40,000 40 thou+

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Movable Assets (Rs)	Immovable Assets (Rs)	Total Assets (Rs)
3	BHEEM DUTT	CHAKRATA (ST)	IND	61,500 <i>61 Thou+</i>	0	61,500 <i>61 Thou+</i>
Manipur						
1	Kh Romio	Oinam	RJD	0	0	0
2	Khadga Bahadur	Kangpokpi	AITC	0	0	0
3	Tenshubam Bheigyachandra Samuphaha	Thonju	MSCP	15,000 <i>15 Thou+</i>	0	15,000 <i>15 Thou+</i>
Goa						
1	Imtiyaz Sayad	Ponda	AITC	11,000 <i>11 thou+</i>	0	11,000 <i>11 thou+</i>
2	Kanta Jadhav	Pernem (SC)	AITC	35,500 <i>35 thou+</i>	0	35,500 <i>35 thou+</i>
3	Manuel Colaco	Quepem	UGDP	3,55,000 <i>3 Lacs+</i>	0	3,55,000 <i>3 Lacs+</i>

Table: Candidates with lowest assets

Analysis of Re-Contesting MLAs in five State Assemblies

State	No. of Re-contesting MLAs Analyzed	Average Assets in 2007	Average Assets in 2012	Average Asset Growth	Average Percentage Growth
Uttar Pradesh	285	1,21,26,559	3,56,67,212	2,35,40,653	194%
Punjab	77	4,60,37,351	10,73,33,640	6,12,96,289	133%
Uttarakhand	53	87,22,372	1,79,52,563	92,30,190	106%
Manipur	41	23,69,931	1,21,78,887	98,08,956	414%
Goa	35	2,70,06,081	8,10,00,111	5,39,94,030	200%
Total	491	9,62,62,294	25,41,32,413	15,78,70,118	164%

Analysis of ITR of Candidates in Five State Assemblies

State	Total No. of Candidates Analyzed	Candidates who have declared that they never filed Income Tax returns	% Candidates who have declared they never filed ITR
Uttar Pradesh	2195	791	36%
Punjab	408	92	23%
Uttarakhand	278	99	36%
Manipur	258	170	66%
Goa	129	10	8%
Total	3268	1162	36%

Table: Candidates who have not declared their ITR

State-wise analysis of High Asset Candidates who have not filed ITR

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Self Income	Total Assets(Rs)	PAN Given
Uttar Pradesh						
1	NARENDRA MANI TRIPATHI	Gorakhpur Urban	INC	0	27,88,94,000 27 Crore+	N
2	ZAKIR ALI	Loni	BSP	0	16,95,81,273 16 Crore+	Y
3	SURENDRA BAHADUR SINGH	Sareni	Peace Party	0	11,82,66,476 11 Crore+	Y
Punjab						
1	Karan Kaur	Muktsar	INC	0	1,28,43,78,782 128 Crore+	Y
2	RAMANJIT SINGH SIKKI	Khadoor Sahib	INC	0	20,12,51,486 20 Crore+	Y
3	Gurpartap Singh Wadala	Nakodar	SAD	0	13,71,13,000 13 Crore+	N
Uttarakhand						
1	SHAHJAD	PIRANKALIYAR	BSP	0	6,52,96,935 6 Crore+	N
2	KALEEM	MANGLOR	BJP	0	3,15,54,000 3 Crore+	N
3	SARWAR YAR KHAN	KICHHA	INC	0	1,94,25,362 1 Crore+	Y
Manipur						
1	Alfred Kan-ngam Arthur	Ukhrul	INC	0	7,88,20,000 7 Crore+	N
2	Sehpu Haokip	Henglep(ST)	NPF	0	2,45,81,000 2 Crore+	N
3	Haokholet Kipgen	Saitu(ST)	NPP	0	2,38,36,542 2 Crore+	Y
Goa						
1	Ramakant Borkar	Cortalim	UGDP	0	1,76,54,912 1 Crore+	Y
2	MARIO MORAIS	Cuncolim	AITC	0	1,74,15,000 1 Crore+	Y
3	Vishnu Wagh	St. Andre	BJP	0	1,25,50,883 1 Crore+	Y

State-wise analysis of highest income shown in ITR

The following table gives the list of candidates who have shown highest income in their ITR in their respective states:

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Total Assets (Rs)	Financial Year for which ITR filed by Candidate	Total Income shown by candidate in ITR (Self+Spouse+Dependent)
Uttar Pradesh						
1	NAJIR AHMED	Agra South	INC	1,41,39,36,029 141 Crore+	2010-2011	22,69,63,236 22 Crore+
2	UMASHANKER	Rasara	BSP	20,75,63,588 20 Crore+	2010-2011	5,54,19,664 5 Crore+

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Total Assets (Rs)	Financial Year for which ITR filed by Candidate	Total Income shown by candidate in ITR (Self+Spouse+Dependent)
3	Zafar Aalam	Aligarh	SP	18,63,92,000 18 Crore+	2010-2011	1,55,90,000 1 Crore+
Punjab						
1	Sukhbir Singh Badal	Jalalabad	SAD	90,86,01,691 90 Crore+	2010-2011	4,58,37,588 4 Crore+
2	Parkash Singh Badal	Lambi	SAD	6,75,27,974 6 Crore+	2010-2011	1,99,81,852 1 Crore+
3	RANA GURJIT	Kapurthala	INC	68,48,36,000 68 Crore+	2010-2011	1,58,71,893 1 Crore+
Uttarakhand						
1	SURENDRA SINGH JEENA	SALT	BJP	7,04,26,727 7 Crore+	2009-2010	80,95,312 80 Lacs+
2	MOHAN PAL	BHIMTAL	BSP	7,02,03,000 7 Crore+	2010-2011	43,42,130 43 Lacs+
3	Ganesh Prasad	SHRINAGAR	INC	1,34,16,684 1 Crore+	2008-2009	35,17,212 35 Lacs+
Manipur						
1	T. N. Haokip	Saikot(ST)	INC	10,07,43,345 10 Crore+	2010-2011	2,56,64,592 2 Crore+
2	Ksh. Biren Singh	Lamlai	INC	5,22,22,431 5 Crore+	2010-2011	62,46,102 62 Lacs+
3	Thokchom Lokeshwar Singh	Khundrakpam	INC	21,08,111 21 Lacs+	2010-2011	35,13,362 35 Lacs+
Goa						
1	Shyam Satardekar	Curchorem	INC	30,20,27,465 30 Crore+	2010-2011	5,05,32,774 5 Crore+
2	Govind Sawant	Sanvordem	INC	7,53,18,740 7 Crore+	2010-2011	3,39,99,760 3 Crore+
3	Nirmala Sawant	Cumbarjua	IND	36,30,04,399 36 Crore+	2010-2011	3,16,88,772 3 Crore+

Analysis of PAN details of Candidates in five State Assemblies

State-wise details of Candidates who have not declared their PAN

State	Total No. of Candidates Analyzed	No. of Candidates who have not declared their PAN Details	% Candidates who have not declared their PAN Details
Uttar Pradesh	2195	396	18%
Punjab	408	47	12%
Uttarakhand	278	42	15%
Manipur	258	89	34%
Goa	129	5	4%
Total	3268	579	18%

Table: Candidates who have not declared their PAN

Analysis based on Gender

State-wise analysis based on gender

Out of 9210 Candidates analyzed for Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Uttarakhand, Manipur and Goa, the

S. No.	State	Total No. Of Candidates	Male Candidates	% Male Candidates	Female Candidates	% Female Candidates
1	Uttar Pradesh	6850	6256	91%	594	9%
2	Punjab	1078	985	91%	93	9%
3	Uttarakhand	788	724	92%	63	8%
4	Manipur	279	267	96%	12	4%
5	Goa	215	206	96%	9	4%
Total		9210	8438	92%	771	8%

number of male Candidates are 8438 whereas the number of female Candidates is only 771 (8% of the total number of Candidates analyzed).

Table: State-Wise Gender Analysis

Details of Helpline, SMS and Facebook Campaign:

Toll-free no: 1-800-110-440 Day/Hours of operation: Mon-Sat (10 am – 6pm) **Information given:** Criminal records, Assets, Liabilities and Education Qualifications Source of Information: Candidate information given in affidavits.

To get information on candidates contesting from your area **SMS - MYNETA <PINCODE> to 9246556070 or 56070 or MYNETA <Constituency name> to 9246556070 or 56070**. For e.g. to get data on Gonda constituency you may send SMS as, MYNETA Gonda to 9246556070 or 56070. The SMS you would get in reply would give be as follows:

“Candidates for GONDA, (1)Mohd Sageer Usmani BSP: Criminal Cases-Yes-2, Asset Rs 56.3 Lac, Liabilities Rs0, Edu Graduate Professional.(2)Vinod Kumar(Pandit Singh) SP: Criminal Cases – Yes-3 Asset Rs 1.5Crore, Liabilities Rs0, Edu 12th Pass. .(3)Raghuraj Prasad INC: Criminal Cases – No Asset Rs 97.2Lac, Liabilities Rs0, Edu Post Graduate. You can visit www.myneta.info for full details or call toll free 1800-110-440 to get them on phone”

The information provided for now would be on the present candidates of the constituency.

Citizens can also subscribe to the SMS service by giving a **missed call: 020-30590400** or hear **Aamir Khan’s message** urging voters to vote for the right candidate by dialing: **020-30590400**.

CONTACTS:

Uttar Pradesh Election Watch

Mr.I.C.Dwivedi E: icdwivedi@gmail.com M.:+91-94150-01468	Mr. Sanjay Singh E: Sanjaysingh033@gmail.com M.: +91-94151-14151	Dr. Lenin E: lenin@pvchr.asia M: +91-99355-99330	Mr. Utkarsh Sinha E: utkarshks@gmail.com M: +91-9935736877
---	--	---	---

Punjab Election Watch

Mr. Jaskirat Singh Coordinator, Punjab Election Watch +91-9316084953 / 0161-4685801 jaskirats@gmail.com

Uttarakhand Election Watch

Ravi Chopra Uttarakhand Election Watch, psiddoon@gmail.com , +91 9411135976	Sanjay Sondhi Uttarakhand Election Watch sanjay.sondhi1@gmail.com , +91 135 2607452, +91 9412052189	BP Maithani, Uttarakhand Election Watch maithani_56@yahoo.com , +91 9012878346	Girija Pandey Uttarakhand Election Watch, girija.pande@gmail.com , +91 9412351759
--	---	--	--

Manipur Election Watch

Tasaduk Ariful Hussain Coordinator, North East Election Watch, +91 361 2338-888, +91-94350-54775 arif@nestinfo.org

Goa Election Watch

Mr. Bhasker Assoldekar +91-98203-53159 vibhanatural@hotmail.com	Mr. Ajit Ranade +91-97022-15312 ajit.ranade@gmail.com
--	---

National Election Watch/Association for Democratic Reforms:

Mr. Anil Bairwal National Coordinator E: anil@adrindia.org M: +91 8010394248, +91 9999310100, T: 011 40817601	Prof. Jagdeep Chhokar Founder Member E: jchhokar@gmail.com M: +919999620944	Prof. Trilochan Sastry Founder Member E: trilochans@IIMB.ERNET.IN +919448353285
--	--	--

DISCLAIMER: All information about candidates in this report has been taken from the affidavits filed by candidates with their nomination papers. Copies of affidavits were obtained from the respective states Chief Election officers Websites

Uttar Pradesh: http://ceouttarpradesh.nic.in/affi_phase2012.aspx,

Punjab: <http://ceopunjab.nic.in/English/Elections/SE/Vs2012/affidavits/S19/SE/Aclist1.html>,

Uttarakhand: <http://election.uk.gov.in/affidavit2012/affidavlitcand2012.htm>,

Manipur: <http://ceomanipur.nic.in/docs2007/AFFIDAVITS1/S14/ACLlist.htm>,

Goa: <http://ceogoa.nic.in/appln/UII/Nominations.aspx>

and the Election Commission (Website <http://eci.nic.in>). While all efforts have been made to ensure that the information is in keeping with what is mentioned in the affidavits, in case of discrepancy between information in this report and that given in the affidavits, the information reported in the affidavits filed by candidates should be treated as correct. Association for Democratic Reforms and their volunteers are not responsible or liable for any damage arising directly or indirectly from the publication of this report.