

PRESS RELEASE

October 7<sup>th</sup>, 2013

## Analysis of candidates and elected MLAs from 2008 Assembly elections: Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Chhattisgarh, Delhi and Mizoram

### MAIN HIGHLIGHTS OF THE REPORT

#### **Analysis based on declared pending criminal cases:**

- **13%** of the contesting candidates (**879 out of 6870 candidates**) from five states had self declared pending criminal cases as per their affidavits during 2008 assembly elections. **394 (6%)** candidates in 2008 assembly elections have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- **21%** of MLAs (**128 out of 607 MLAs**) from these five states have self declared pending criminal cases. **47** MLAs had declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- Delhi has maximum percentage (**43%**) of MLAs with declared criminal cases against them followed by Madhya Pradesh (**25%**) among the five states.
- Madhya Pradesh has highest percentage (**11%**) of MLAs with serious criminal cases followed by Delhi and Chhattisgarh with **9%**. Mizoram, with **7%** has the lowest percentage of MLAs with criminal cases among the five states.
- Among major parties INC had fielded **30%** tainted candidates in Delhi, **31%** in Madhya Pradesh during 2008 Assembly elections. BJP had given tickets to **35%** candidates with criminal cases in Delhi while **22%** tainted candidates were given tickets in 2008 Madhya Pradesh Assembly elections.
- **38%** of MLAs from INC from Delhi and **46%** of MLAs from BJP in Delhi have criminal cases against them. In Madhya Pradesh **34%** of INC MLAs and **20%** of MLAs of BJP have self declared criminal cases.
- NEW and ADR demand that all political parties should give tickets to taint free candidates during these upcoming elections.

#### **Analysis based on assets:**

- **264 (43%)** MLAs out of the **607** analysed from the five states are crorepatris while **837 (12%)** candidates out of **6870** were crorepatris.
- Delhi has the highest percentage (**69%**) of crorepati MLAs followed by Rajasthan with **46%** crorepati MLAs and Madhya Pradesh with **38%**.

#### **Analysis based on gender**

- Out of **7520** candidates contested during 2008 assembly elections in five states, only **8% (564)** were women candidates. Lowest percentage of women candidates were fielded in Mizoram (**4%**), while

Data in this Kit is presented in good faith, with an intention to inform voters. MLAs affidavit with nomination papers is the source of this analysis. [www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org), <http://www.myneta.info>, [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org), <http://www.twitter.com/adrspeaks>, <http://www.facebook.com/adr.new>

Delhi and Chhattisgarh states recorded **9%** women candidates which was the highest among the five states.

- Out of **630** MLAs from the five state assemblies only **67 (11%)** are women. Rajasthan has the highest percentage of women MLAs (**14%**), while Mizoram assembly has no women representation. Delhi has only **4%** women MLAs.

National Election Watch (NEW) has analysed affidavits of **6870** candidates out of a total of **7520** candidates who contested in 2008 assembly election from the five states of **Delhi, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh and Mizoram.**

National Election Watch also analysed the affidavits of **607** outgoing MLAs out of **630** MLAs from these five assemblies.

#### **State wise candidates with declared criminal cases**

S.No	State	Total No. of Candidates	Total No. of Candidates Analyzed	Candidates with Pending Criminal Cases	% of Candidates with pending criminal cases	Candidates with serious pending criminal cases	% of Candidates with serious pending criminal cases
1	Chhattisgarh	1066	828	79	10%	41	5%
2	Delhi	875	790	111	14%	32	4%
3	Madhya Pradesh	3179	2964	445	15%	255	9%
4	Mizoram	206	197	5	3%	0	0%
5	Rajasthan	2194	2091	239	11%	66	3%
<b>Total</b>		<b>7520</b>	<b>6870</b>	<b>879</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>394</b>	<b>6%</b>

#### **State wise MLAs with declared criminal cases**

S.No.	State	Total No. of MLAs	Total No. of MLAs Analyzed	MLAs with Pending Criminal Cases	% of MLAs with pending criminal cases	MLAs with serious pending criminal cases	% of MLAs with serious pending criminal cases
1	Chhattisgarh	90	85	11	13%	8	9%
2	Delhi	70	68	29	43%	6	9%
3	Madhya Pradesh	230	219	55	25%	25	11%
4	Mizoram	40	38	2	5%	0	0%
5	Rajasthan	200	197	31	16%	8	4%

Data in this Kit is presented in good faith, with an intention to inform voters. MLAs affidavit with nomination papers is the source of this analysis. [www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org), <http://www.myneta.info>, [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org), <http://www.twitter.com/adrspeaks>, <http://www.facebook.com/adr.new>

S.No.	State	Total No. of MLAs	Total No. of MLAs Analyzed	MLAs with Pending Criminal Cases	% of MLAs with pending criminal cases	MLAs with serious pending criminal cases	% of MLAs with serious pending criminal cases
<b>Total</b>		<b>630</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>8%</b>

**Party wise percentage of candidates and MLAs with declared criminal cases**

S. No.	State	INC		BJP		BSP	
		% of Candidates with pending criminal cases	% of MLAs with pending criminal cases	% of Candidates with pending criminal cases	% of MLAs with pending criminal cases	% of Candidates with pending criminal cases	% of MLAs with pending criminal cases
1	Chhattisgarh	12%	14%	17%	13%	6%	0%
2	Delhi	30%	38%	35%	46%	23%	0%
3	Madhya Pradesh	31%	34%	22%	20%	20%	43%
4	Mizoram	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5	Rajasthan	13%	16%	10%	8%	15%	50%

**Top 3 MLAs with pending declared serious criminal cases (State wise)**

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Serious IPC Counts	Total No. of Cases	Brief Details of serious IPC Counts
<b>Chhattisgarh</b>						
1	Mahesh Gagda	Bijapur	BJP	1	1	1 charges related to Murder(IPC section-302)
2	Yuddhveer Singh Judev	Chandrapur	BJP	1	1	1 charges related to Kidnapping or abducting with intent secretly and wrongfully to confine person(IPC section-365)
3	Paresh Agrawal	Khallari	INC	1	2	1 charges related to Theft in dwelling house, etc.(IPC section-380)
<b>Delhi</b>						
1	Sh. Asif Mohd. Khan	Okhla: Bye Election on 15 September 2009	RJD	4	16	1 charges related to Possession of Indian coin by person who knew it to be altered when he became possessed thereof(IPC section-253) 1 charges related to Buying or disposing of any person as slave(IPC section-370) 1 charges related to Promoting enmity between different groups on grounds of religion, race, place of birth, residence, language, etc., and doing acts prejudicial to maintenance of harmony(IPC section-153A) 1 charges related to Attempt to murder(IPC section-307)
2	Bharat Singh	Najafgarh	IND	2	3	1 charges related to Murder(IPC section-302) 1 charges related to Attempt to murder(IPC section-307)

Data in this Kit is presented in good faith, with an intention to inform voters. MLAs affidavit with nomination papers is the source of this analysis. [www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org), <http://www.myneta.info>, [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org), <http://www.twitter.com/adrspeaks>, <http://www.facebook.com/adr.new>

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Serious IPC Counts	Total No. of Cases	Brief Details of serious IPC Counts
						section-307)
3	Kulwant Rana	Rithala	BJP	1	2	1 charges related to Kidnapping or abducting with intent secretly and wrongfully to confine person(IPC section-365)
<b>Madhya Pradesh</b>						
1	Ashwin Joshi	Indore -3	INC	2	5	1 charges related to Obscene acts and songs(IPC section-294) 1 charges related to Attempt to murder(IPC section-307)
2	Paras Ram Mudgal	Morena	BSP	1	1	1 charges related to Murder(IPC section-302)
3	Abhay Kumar Mishra	Semariya	BJP	1	1	1 charges related to Kidnapping or abducting with intent secretly and wrongfully to confine person(IPC section-365)
<b>Rajasthan</b>						
1	Pawan Kumar Duggal	Anupgarh	CPM	11	13	6 charges related to Attempt to murder(IPC section-307) 2 charges related to Theft after preparation made for causing death, hurt or restraint in order to the committing of the theft(IPC section-382) 1 charges related to Wrongful confinement for three or more days(IPC section-343) 1 charges related to Conspiracy to commit offences punishable by section 121(IPC section-121A) 1 charges related to dacoity(IPC section-395)
2	Rajendra Singh	Udaipurwati	BSP	7	7	2 charges related to Kidnapping or abducting with intent secretly and wrongfully to confine person(IPC section-365) 2 charges related to Attempt to murder(IPC section-307) 1 charges related to theft(IPC section-379) 1 charges related to Theft after preparation made for causing death, hurt or restraint in order to the committing of the theft(IPC section-382) 1 charges related to voluntarily causing grievous hurt(IPC section-325)
3	Dr. Rajkumar Sharma	Nawalgarh	BSP	1	7	1 charges related to Attempt to murder(IPC section-307)

**\*In Mizoram, no MLA has declared serious criminal case**

### **Analysis based on assets**

- **264 (43%)** MLAs out of the **607** analysed from the five states are crorepatists while **837 (12%)** candidates out of **6870** were crorepatists.

Data in this Kit is presented in good faith, with an intention to inform voters. MLAs affidavit with nomination papers is the source of this analysis. [www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org), <http://www.myneta.info>, [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org), <http://www.twitter.com/adrspeaks>, <http://www.facebook.com/adr.new>

- Delhi has the highest percentage (**69%**) of crorepati MLAs followed by Rajasthan with **46%** crorepati MLAs and Madhya Pradesh with **38%**.

### State wise crorepati candidates

S.No.	State	Total No. of Candidates	Total No. of Candidates Analyzed	Total Number of Crorepati Candidates	% of Crorepati Candidates
1	Chhattisgarh	1066	828	66	8%
2	Delhi	875	790	180	23%
3	Madhya Pradesh	3179	2964	242	8%
4	Mizoram	206	197	39	20%
5	Rajasthan	2194	2091	310	15%
<b>Total</b>		<b>7520</b>	<b>6870</b>	<b>837</b>	<b>12%</b>

### State wise crorepati MLAs

S.No.	State	Total No. of MLAs	Total No. of MLAs Analyzed	Total Number of Crorepati MLAs	% of Crorepati MLAs
1	Chhattisgarh	90	85	30	35%
2	Delhi	70	68	47	69%
3	Madhya Pradesh	230	219	84	38%
4	Mizoram	40	38	13	34%
5	Rajasthan	200	197	90	46%
<b>Total</b>		<b>630</b>	<b>607</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>43%</b>

### Top 3 MLAs of each state with highest assets

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Movable Assets (Rs)	Immovable Assets (Rs)	Total Assets (Rs)
<b>Chhattisgarh</b>						
1	Nand Kumar Patel	Kharsia	INC	29,69,119	36,72,34,000	37,02,03,119 37 Cror+
2	Raj Kamal Singhaniya	Kasdol	INC	1,75,42,136	8,41,93,816	10,17,35,952 10 Cror+
3	Gurumukh Singh Hora	Dhamtari	INC	67,97,661	5,34,20,000	6,02,17,661 6 Cror+

Data in this Kit is presented in good faith, with an intention to inform voters. MLAs affidavit with nomination papers is the source of this analysis. [www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org), <http://www.myneta.info>, [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org), <http://www.twitter.com/adrspeaks>, <http://www.facebook.com/adr.new>

S. No.	Name	Constituency	Party	Movable Assets (Rs)	Immovable Assets (Rs)	Total Assets (Rs)
<b>Delhi</b>						
1	Bharat Singh	Najafgarh	IND	4,12,550	14,41,55,750	14,45,68,300 14 Cror+
2	Sh. Parduyrn Rajput	Dwarka: Bye Election on 10 September 2009	BJP	4,15,10,072	7,75,00,000	11,90,10,072 11 Cror+
3	Sumesh	Matiala	INC	51,03,772	10,92,26,187	11,43,29,959 11 Cror+
<b>Madhya Pradesh</b>						
1	Sanjay Pathak	Vijayraghogarh	INC	19,83,43,937	14,35,64,474	34,19,08,411 34 Cror+
2	Satyanarayan Patel	Depalpur	INC	58,25,481	29,42,89,607	30,01,15,088 30 Cror+
3	K.P. Singh Kakkajoo	Pichhore	INC	3,76,28,447	7,72,52,000	11,48,80,447 11 Cror+
<b>Mizoram</b>						
1	Zodintluanga	Thorang	INC	1,97,47,493	31,00,000	2,28,47,493 2 Cror+
2	Lalthanhawla	Serchhip	INC	30,37,530	1,92,14,455	2,22,51,985 2 Cror+
3	S. Hiato	Saiha	INC	42,32,960	1,59,00,000	2,01,32,960 2 Cror+
<b>Rajasthan</b>						
1	Ranveer Pahalwan	Malpura	IND	22,23,61,710	14,54,00,000	36,77,61,710 36 Cror+
2	Anjana Udailal	Nimbahera	INC	25,95,38,135	10,24,55,635	36,19,93,770 36 Cror+
3	Param Navadeep	Sangaria	INC	37,88,596	31,83,63,052	32,21,51,648 32 Cror+

Data in this Kit is presented in good faith, with an intention to inform voters. MLAs affidavit with nomination papers is the source of this analysis. [www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org), <http://www.myneta.info>, [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org), <http://www.twitter.com/adrspeaks>, <http://www.facebook.com/adr.new>

### Analysis based on gender

#### State wise analysis of candidates based on gender:

Out of **7520** candidates contested during 2008 assembly elections in five states, only **8% (564)** were women candidates. Lowest percentage of women candidates were fielded in Mizoram (**4%**), while Delhi and Chhattisgarh states recorded **9%** women candidates which was the highest among the five states.

The following table provides details on state wise women candidates fielded during 2008 Assembly elections:

S.No.	State	Total Candidates	Women candidates	% of women candidates	Men candidates	% of men candidates
1	Chhattisgarh	1066	94	9%	972	91%
2	Delhi	875	81	9%	794	91%
3	Madhya Pradesh	3179	226	7%	2953	93%
4	Mizoram	206	9	4%	197	96%
5	Rajasthan	2194	154	7%	2040	93%
<b>Total</b>		<b>7520</b>	<b>564</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>6956</b>	<b>92%</b>

#### State wise analysis of MLAs based on gender:

Out of **630** MLAs from the five state assemblies only **67 (11%)** are women. Rajasthan has the highest percentage of women MLAs (**14%**), while Mizoram assembly has no women representation. Delhi has only **4%** women MLAs.

The following table provides details on state wise representation of women in five Assemblies:

S. No.	State	Total MLAs	Women MLAs	% of Women MLAs	Men MLAs	% of Men MLAs
1	Chhattisgarh	90	11	12%	79	88%
2	Delhi	70	3	4%	67	96%
3	Madhya Pradesh	230	25	11%	205	89%
4	Mizoram	40	0	0%	40	100%
5	Rajasthan	200	28	14%	172	86%
<b>Total</b>		<b>630</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>89%</b>

## Contacts

### National Election Watch/Association for Democratic Reforms

Media and Journalist Helpline +91 80103 94248 Email: <a href="mailto:adr@adrindia.org">adr@adrindia.org</a>	Anurag Mittal, National Coordinator National Election Watch, and Association for Democratic Reforms +918010394248 +91 9811108914 adr@adrIndia.org, anurag@adrindia.org	Prof Jagdeep Chhokar IIM Ahmedabad (Retd.) Founder Member National Election Watch, Association for Democratic Reforms +919999620944 jchhokar@gmail.com	Prof Trilochan Sastry IIM Bangalore Founder Member, National Election Watch, Association for Democratic Reforms +919448353285, trilochans@IIMB.ERNET.IN	Dr. Ajit Ranade Founder Member, National Election Watch, Association for Democratic Reforms +919702215312 ajit.ranade@gmail.com
---	---	--	--	--