

Date 9<sup>th</sup> February 2024

## Press Release

### Analysis of Format C7 - Publication of Reasons Given for Selection of Candidates with Criminal Cases by Political Parties

Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh and Telangana Assembly Election 2023

### Association for Democratic Reforms

T-95, C.L. House, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,  
Near Gulmohar Commercial Complex  
Gautam Nagar,  
New Delhi-110 049  
Phone: +91-011-4165-4200  
Email: [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org)

## Content

S.No.	Description	Page Number
1	Introduction	3
2	EC's Directions in compliance with SC orders on Publication of Criminal Cases by Candidates and Political Parties including recording of reasons for selection	4
3	Summary and Highlights of the Report	7
4	Analysis of Format C7 – Rajasthan Assembly Elections, 2023	8
5	Analysis of Format C7 – Madhya Pradesh Assembly Elections, 2023	13
6	Analysis of Format C7 – Chhattisgarh Assembly Elections, 2023	17
7	Analysis of Format C7 – Telangana Assembly Elections, 2023	22
8	Observations by ADR	26
9	Recommendations by ADR	30
10	Contact Details	39

## Introduction

**On 13th February 2020** the Supreme Court had directed political parties *to list out reasons on their website including their social media platforms for nominating candidates with criminal background within 72 hours of the selection of such candidates*. This direction of the Apex Court had come in the light of a contempt petition filed against the non-implementation of its earlier order dated **25th September, 2018** on publication of criminal cases by candidates and political parties which clearly were not taken very seriously. Consequently, the Supreme Court had reprimanded political parties for failing to widely publish the details of criminal cases pending against the candidates selected by them. Going one step further, the Supreme Court in its directions had also specifically instructed political parties *to give reasons for such selection and why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates*. As per these mandatory guidelines, the reasons for such selection have to be with reference to *qualifications, achievements, and merit of the candidate* concerned. Sadly, even these directions of the Supreme Court have had no effect on the political parties in selection of candidates as they have again followed their old practice of giving tickets to candidates based on *'Muscle and Money power'*. On 15th July 2021 and 20 July 2021, the Supreme Court again considered the contempt by political parties against the wilful disobedience of the Apex Court's order dated 13th February 2020. While observing the egregious default by political parties, the Supreme Court also stated *that neither the Legislature nor the Political Parties will ever be keen on taking steps to stop the entry of candidates charged with criminal cases*.

In order to curb this blatant practice of giving tickets to candidates with criminal background, the SC has, lately given four orders; **10th March, 2014 (Trial within one year)**; **1st November, 2017 (Special 11 fast-track courts)**; **25th September, 2018 (Publication of criminal cases)**; **13th February, 2020 (Reasons for giving tickets to candidates with criminal cases)**. Unfortunately, none of these orders have been able to dissuade parties from giving tickets to candidates with criminal background rather than entry to *clean, credible and honest candidates*.

---

<sup>1</sup> [https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/24482/24482\\_2020\\_32\\_11\\_28409\\_Order\\_15-Jul-2021.pdf](https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/24482/24482_2020_32_11_28409_Order_15-Jul-2021.pdf)

<sup>2</sup> [https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/24482/24482\\_2020\\_32\\_1\\_28730\\_Order\\_20-Jul-2021.pdf](https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/24482/24482_2020_32_1_28730_Order_20-Jul-2021.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> [https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Supreme\\_Court\\_10-03-2014\\_daily\\_order\\_in\\_PFI\\_vs\\_UOI\\_0.pdf](https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Supreme_Court_10-03-2014_daily_order_in_PFI_vs_UOI_0.pdf)

<sup>4</sup> [https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Order\\_dated\\_01\\_-\\_Nov\\_-\\_2017\\_Ashwini\\_Upadhaya\\_case.pdf](https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Order_dated_01_-_Nov_-_2017_Ashwini_Upadhaya_case.pdf)

<sup>5</sup> [https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/judgment\\_on\\_de-criminalization\\_25-Sep-2018.pdf](https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/judgment_on_de-criminalization_25-Sep-2018.pdf)

<sup>6</sup> [https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Supreme\\_Court\\_judgement\\_dated\\_13th\\_Feb\\_2020\\_in\\_Contempt\\_petition\\_No\\_2192\\_of\\_2018.pdf](https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Supreme_Court_judgement_dated_13th_Feb_2020_in_Contempt_petition_No_2192_of_2018.pdf)

**EC's directions (in its letters dated 6th Mar'20 & 10th Oct'18) in compliance with SC orders dated 25th September 2018 and 13th February 2020 on Publication of Criminal Cases by Candidates and Political Parties including recording of reasons for selection**

**ECI's Letter dated 6th March 2020 in compliance with Supreme Court directions dated 13th February 2020 stated:**

- 1) It is mandatory for **political parties** at the Central and State election level to upload on their **website detailed information regarding candidates with pending criminal cases including the nature of offences, relevant particulars like whether charges have been framed, the concerned court, the case number etc.**
- 2) **Political parties** will also have to give reasons for such selection and why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates.
- 3) The reasons as to selection shall be with reference to the qualifications, achievements and merit of the candidate concerned, and **not mere "winnability"** at the polls.
- 4) This information shall also be published in: (a)One local vernacular newspaper and one national newspaper; (b)On the official social media platforms of the political party, including Facebook and Twitter.
- 5) These details shall be published within 48 hours of the selection of the candidate or not less than two weeks before the first date for filing of nominations whichever is earlier. For ensuring periodic awareness of electors during the campaign, ECI has now prescribed following timeline for publicity of criminal antecedents during the period starting from the day following the last date of withdrawal and up to 48 hours before ending with the hour fixed for conclusion of poll,
  - Within first 4 days of withdrawal of nominations,
  - Between next 5th - 8th days.
  - From 9th day till the last day of campaign (the second day prior to date of poll) the day

6) The **political party** concerned shall then submit a report of compliance with these directions with the Election Commission within 72 hours of the selection of the said candidate.

7) If a **political party fails to submit such a compliance report** with the Election Commission, the Election Commission shall bring such non-compliance by the political party concerned to the notice of the Supreme court as being in contempt of this court's orders/directions

**ECI's Letter dated 10th October 2018 in compliance with Supreme Court directions dated 25th September 2018:**

**For Candidates:**

1. Each contesting candidate shall fill up the form as provided by the Election Commission and the form ***must contain all the particulars as required*** therein.
2. It shall state, ***in bold letters, with regard to the criminal cases pending against the candidate.***
3. If a candidate is contesting an election on the ticket of a particular party, ***he/she is required to inform the party about the criminal cases pending against him/her.***

**For Political Parties:**

1. The concerned political party shall be ***obligated to put up on its website the aforesaid information pertaining to candidates having criminal antecedents.***

**Both Political Party and Candidates:**

1. It is mandatory for **political parties** and **candidates** with criminal antecedents to publish the declaration ***atleast on three different dates from the date following the last date of withdrawal of candidatures and up to two days before the date of poll.*** The matter should be published in font size of at least 12 and should be placed suitably in newspapers. In case of ***declaration in TV Channels, the same should be completed before a period of 48 hours ending with hours fixed for conclusion of poll.*** There is a format provided by ECI for such a declaration by the candidates and political parties.

2. In case of non-compliance of the direction by the ***candidate/political parties, the returning officers will give a written reminder*** to them and in the event of non-compliance till the end of the elections, ***the returning officer will report to the state's Chief Electoral Officer who will intimate ECI. ECI will take a final decision in the matter.*** The standard format for such a reminder to the candidates and political parties is also annexed in the letter.
3. ***All political parties; recognized parties and registered unrecognized parties shall submit a report to the CEO of the concerned state stating that they have fulfilled the requirements of the directions and enclosing herewith the paper cuttings containing the directions.*** This shall be done within 30 days of the completion of elections. Thereafter, within the next 15 days, the CEO should submit a report to the ECI confirming compliance and pointing out cases of defaulters.

**Format/Forms issued by ECI in pursuant to the aforementioned SC directions**

It is to be noted that **Form C7 and C8** should be ***duly signed by the office bearer of a political party with proper name and designation.*** Form C8 shall also bear ***seal of the concerned political party.***

<b>Format/Form</b>	<b>Action to be taken by</b>	<b>Platform</b>
C1	Candidates	To publish information regarding criminal background in Newspapers and TV
C2	Political Parties	To publish information regarding criminal background in Newspapers, TV and Political party's website
C7	Political Parties	To publish information regarding criminal background <b><i>along with reasons</i></b> in Newspapers, social media platforms, website of political parties
C8	Political Parties to the Election Commission of India	Compliance Report with respect to the SC judgment dated 13th Feb, 2020

## Summary and Highlights

**Association for Democratic Reforms (ADR) have analysed Format C7 of 732 candidates, who contested in the Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh and Telangana Assembly Election 2023:**

*This data has been compiled from political parties' websites as well as social media handles that were functional before and during the period of the above-mentioned State assembly elections. Most political parties published details as per form C7 on their social media handles such as Twitter. It must be noted that in some cases, parties may have published these details (elsewhere) and it may not have appeared in our records.*

S.No	State	Total Contesting Candidates	Number of Political Parties Analysed	Contesting Candidates Analysed from Shortlisted Political Parties	Number of Candidates Analysed with Declared Criminal Cases	No. of Candidates with Criminal Cases having a Published Format C7
1	Rajasthan	1875	5	688	151	129
2	Madhya Pradesh	2534	8	836	264	133
3	Chhattisgarh	1181	5	296	52	41
4	Telangana	2290	5	463	265	147
<b>Total</b>		<b>7880</b>	<b>23*</b>	<b>2283</b>	<b>732</b>	<b>450</b>

9

*No. of Political Parties Analysed*

450

*No. of Candidates with Criminal Cases having a Published Format C7 (61%)*

282

*No. of Candidates with Criminal Cases whose Format C7 is not Published (39%)*

*\*Some political parties are common in all the states*

## Analysis of Format C7 – Rajasthan Assembly Elections, 2023

### Political parties analysed:

In the **Rajasthan 2023** Assembly Elections, out of **80** political parties which contested the election, the following **5** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Bharatiya Janata Party
2. Indian National Congress
3. Bahujan Samaj Party
4. Aam Aadmi Party
5. Communist Party of India (Marxist)

### Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **688** contesting candidates analysed, **151 (22%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **688** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **111 (16%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- Format C7 has been published for **3 candidates** even though no criminal cases have been reflected in the affidavit filed by them. This demonstrates carelessness on the part of the political parties and insincerity in adhering to the directions of the Supreme Court.

S.No.	District	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Jaipur	VIDHYADHAR NAGAR	DR SANJAY BIYANI	AAP	0	0	A dedicated ground worker with immense support vociferously raising his voice against the injustice perpetuated by the system. FIR against him is politically motivated	Candidates was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area No other candidate with similar support



S.No.	District	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
2	Barmer	Baytoo	Harish Choudhary	INC	0	0	The candidate is a promising & prominent face of the constituency. Candidate has initiated various social development schemes in the constituency. Candidate has acceptance in all the sects of society and his connection with the common people is unmatched. Even the geographical challenges have not stopped the candidate from doing development works for the constituency.	Firstly, the case & sections levied upon candidate are of bailable in nature. Candidate has never been involved in any serious crime. Secondly the candidate got maximum support in the surveys conducted by the party.
3	Ganganagar	Sadulshahar	Jagdish Chandra Jangid	INC	0	0	The candidate is sitting MLA of the constituency and has been actively carrying out development works for the people of the constituency which has made him a popular people's choice which can be gauged from the overwhelming support that he gets from the people of the constituency. The candidate has been working tirelessly to bring development to this area and keep communal harmony and peace in the area by initiating various programs to ensure dialogue among various sections of population.	The police have submitted a closure report in the only case that was pending against this candidate. Even this case was lodged due to political vendetta and it became clear in the police investigation. There is no other case pending on this candidate which is why the Congress party has chosen to field him from this Constituency

• **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**

- Out of **151** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **129 (85%)** candidates.
- Out of **111** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **97 (87%)** candidates.
- For **22 (15%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.

- **Reasons given for top 3 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Political Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Madan Dilawar	RAMGANJ MANDI (SC)	BJP	14	12	This candidate has better political acumen and better urge to serve the people and the nation whatever criminal antecedents are there, they have no substance and are purely with a vindictive approach and personal vendetta being waged by his political enemies and party believes, that there is every likelihood that he may be absolved of the criminal cases.	The candidate has edge over other individuals, considering the fact that he has better inclination to serve the society and the public at large and criminal cases are the results of sheer political vendetta to tarnish his public image. He has wide public acceptability.
2	Kirodi Lal	SAWAI MADHOPUR	BJP	12	10	This candidate has better political acumen and better urge to serve the people and the nation whatever criminal antecedents are there, they have no substance and are purely with a vindictive approach and personal vendetta being waged by his political enemies and party believes That there is every likelihood that he may be absolved of the criminal cases.	The candidate has edge over other individuals, considering the fact that he has better inclination to serve the society and the public at large and criminal cases are the results of sheer political vendetta to tarnish his public image. he/she has wide public acceptability.
3	Shyopat Ram	RAISINGHNAGAR (SC)	CPI(M)	9	9	The reason for selection of the candidate is the candidate's qualification and popularity among the public and his/her activism for the issues among the public.	He is popular among the masses for his continuous dedicated struggles for the farmers, labourers and common people. He has been selected because of this ability.

**Table: Reasons given for top 3 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases**

• **Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
This candidate has better political acumen and better urge to serve the people and the nation whatever criminal antecedents are there, they have no substance and are purely with a vindictive approach and personal vendetta being waged by his political enemies and party believes, that there is every likelihood that he may be absolved of the criminal cases.	Candidates was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area No other candidate with similar support
The reason for selection of the candidate is the candidate's qualification and public popularity.	He is popular among the masses for his continuous dedicated struggles for the farmers, labourers and common people. He has been selected because of this ability.
Social worker, most approachable and down to earth person	Candidates was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area No other candidate with similar support
The candidate is a senior and popular political activist in this area	No other candidate with similar ground support
A dedicated ground worker with immense support vociferously raising his voice against the injustice perpetuated by the system. FIR against him/her are politically motivated	The candidate has edge over other individuals, considering the fact that he has better inclination to serve the society and the public at large and criminal cases are the results of sheer political vendetta to tarnish his public image. He has wide public acceptability.

**Table: Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background**

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal background\*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal background	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
INC	47	3	6%
BJP	61	18	30%
BSP	12	1	8%
AAP	18	0	0%
CPI(M)	13	0	0%

**Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal background**

\*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.

• **Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total
1	Vijay Singh Bainsla	Deoli-Uniara	BJP	8
2	Gopichand Meena	Jahazpur	BJP	5
3	Subhkaran Choudhary	Udaipurwati	BJP	5

**Table: Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published**

• **Other discrepancies in Format C7 of some candidates:**

Name of the Party	Remarks
AAP	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. <b>Refer Party Website Link Given Here :</b> <a href="https://aamaadmiparty.org/rajasthan-assembly-elections-2023-format/">https://aamaadmiparty.org/rajasthan-assembly-elections-2023-format/</a>
BJP	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. <b>Refer Party Website Link Given Here :</b> <a href="https://rajasthan.bjp.org/statepressreleases?title=criminal&amp;field_date_press_releases_value=&amp;field_date_press_releases_value_1=">https://rajasthan.bjp.org/statepressreleases?title=criminal&amp;field_date_press_releases_value=&amp;field_date_press_releases_value_1=</a>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Details of criminal cases for <b>Ashok (INC candidate)</b> are different in <b>Format C-2 (3 cases)</b> and <b>Format C-7 (2 cases)</b></li> <li>• Details of criminal cases for <b>Co. Nirmal Kumar (CPI(M) candidate)</b> are different in <b>Format C-2 (1 case)</b> and <b>Format C-7 (2 cases)</b></li> <li>• Details of criminal cases for <b>Balwan Poonia (CPI(M) candidate)</b> are different in <b>Format C-2 (4 cases)</b> and <b>Format C-7 (2 cases)</b></li> <li>• Details of criminal cases for <b>Raghveer Singh and Kanaram (CPI(M) candidate)</b> are different in <b>Format C-2 (1 case)</b> and <b>Format C-7 (3 cases)</b></li> </ul>

### **Financial Background**

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the 151 candidates, 106 (70%) are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal backgrounds:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets
1	Rafique Mandelia	CHURU	INC	2	6	1,66,48,38,662 <b>166 Crore+</b>
2	Vishvendra Singh	DEEG-KUMHER	INC	1	0	1,09,05,13,117 <b>109 Crore+</b>
3	Ramkesh	GANGAPUR	INC	1	3	63,64,81,045 <b>63 Crore+</b>

**Table: Top 3 candidates with criminal background having highest declared assets**

## Analysis of Format C7 – Madhya Pradesh Assembly Elections, 2023

### Political parties analysed:

In the **Madhya Pradesh 2023** Assembly Elections, out of **105** political parties which contested the election, the following **8** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Bharatiya Janata Party
2. Indian National Congress
3. Bahujan Samaj Party
4. Aam Aadmi Party
5. Communist Party of India (Marxist)
6. Samajwadi Party
7. Bhartiya Shakti Chetna Party
8. Bhartiya Swarnim Yug Party

### Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **836** contesting candidates analysed, **264 (32%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **836** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **139 (17%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- Format C7 has been published for **1 candidate** even though no criminal cases have been reflected in the affidavit filed by him. This demonstrates carelessness on the part of the political parties and insincerity in adhering to the directions of the Supreme Court.

S.No.	District	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Rewa	Teonthar	Shri Kamaldhari Kushwaha	Bhartiya Shakti Chetna Party	0	0	He is drug-free and non-vegetarian character candidate, who has been doing social service for the last several years.	He is a dedicated and senior party worker and these allegations have been levelled against him due to personal vendetta.

- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**
  - Out of **264** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **133 (50%)** candidates.
  - Out of **139** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **72 (52%)** candidates.
  - For **131 (50%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.
- **Reasons given for top 3 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Surendra Patwa	Bhojpur	BJP	173	0	The candidate has political experience from student life and is dedicated to the common people in his area. Popular with every section of the society, especially among the youth and provided proper help and support to all the victims of his area during the Covid period.	The name was proposed on the basis of internal survey among the regional people. Selection based on party's internal survey and priority given to regional workers and previous political experience.
2	P.C. Sharma (Prakash Mangilal Sharma)	Bhopal Dakshin-Paschim	INC	14	2	Format C7 <b>not published</b> by political party on official website and social media handles	
3	Bisahu Lal Singh	Anuppur (St)	BJP	12	1	He was selected due to his political background and having been an MLA in the past and continuously working for the cause of Scheduled Castes, Tribes and Other Backward Classes.	At the time of by-elections 2020, crimes were registered in the police stations due to political hatred, but the candidate is making every possible effort to solve the problems of the people by staying among the people.

**Table: Reasons given for top 3 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases**

- **Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
A dedicated ground worker with immense support vociferously raising his voice against the injustice perpetuated by the system.	Candidate was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area No other candidate with similar support.
In comparison to the other candidates and their history, it was found to be suitable being the candidate has stated that false FIR has been lodged against him	The offences are not grave one seems to be based on political vendetta. His image supported by the local office bearers of the party as clean and good.

The candidate was re-selected due to the immense love and blessings of the public due to his continuous efforts for a six-lane road in the Kolar region, making harmony between all the sections of the society in Bhopal region and making full use of the MLA funds.	The current candidate was selected over others keeping in mind the immense affection, love and cooperation of the regional workers and the continuous development of their area.
The candidate is member of the party since long. In comparison to the other candidates and their history. it was found to be suitable.	On local inquiry by it was transpired that it is a case of political vendetta/revenge. And he has been trapped in criminal as in conspiracy otherwise his social image was reported to be good.
Proposing the name of the candidate by the regional public and continuous contact with the public.	Selected by the party because it was popular among the regional public and workers and because the name was proposed.

**Table: Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background**

- Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal background\*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal background	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
INC	121	121	100%
BJP	65	0	0%
BSP	22	0	0%
AAP	26	5	19%
CPI(M)	1	0	0%
Bhartiya Shakti Chetna Party	4	2	50%
Bhartiya Swarnim Yug Party	2	1	50%
SP	23	2	9%

**Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal background**

\*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.

- Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total
1	P.C. Sharma (Prakash Mangilal Sharma)	Bhopal Dakshin-Paschim	INC	14
2	Sunil Sharma	Gwalior	INC	12
3	Vipin Wankhede	Agar (SC)	INC	11

**Table: Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published**

• **Other discrepancies in Format C7 of some candidates:**

Name of the Party	Remarks
BSP	For 99% candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. <b>Refer Party Website Link Given Here:</b> <a href="https://bahujansamaajparty.net/?page_id=3042">https://bahujansamaajparty.net/?page_id=3042</a>
Bhartiya Shakti Chetna Party	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. <b>Refer Party Website Link Given Here:</b> <a href="https://bscp.in/mp-assembly-election-2023-format-c-7/">https://bscp.in/mp-assembly-election-2023-format-c-7/</a>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Details of criminal cases for <b>Jajpal Singh (BJP candidate) are different in Format C-2 (4 cases) and Format C-7 (6 cases)</b></li> <li>• Details of criminal cases for <b>Archana Chitnis, Pradhuman Singh Tomar, Yashpalsingh Sisodiya, Premshankar Verma, Er. Pradeep Lariya and Govind Singh Rajput (BJP candidates) are different in Format C-2 (1 case) and Format C-7 (2 cases)</b></li> </ul>	

**Financial Background**

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the **264** candidates, **205 (78%)** are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal backgrounds:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets
1	Sanjay Satyendra Pathak	Vijayraghavgarh	BJP	1	2	2,42,09,28,551 242 Crore+
2	Sanjay Shukla	Indore-1	INC	6	0	2,17,41,25,338 217 Crore+
3	Sanjay Sharma (Sanju Bhaiya)	Tendukheda	INC	1	0	2,12,52,79,560 212 Crore+

**Table: Top 3 candidates with criminal background having highest declared assets**



## Analysis of Format C7 – Chhattisgarh Assembly Elections, 2023

### Political parties analysed:

In the Chhattisgarh **2023** Assembly Elections, out of **56** political parties which contested the election, the following **5** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Bharatiya Janata Party
2. Indian National Congress
3. Bahujan Samaj Party
4. Aam Aadmi Party
5. Communist Party of India (Marxist)

### Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **296** contesting candidates analysed, **52 (18%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **296** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **24 (8%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- Format C7 has been published for **3 candidates** even though no criminal cases have been reflected in the affidavit filed by them. This demonstrates carelessness on the part of the political parties and insincerity in adhering to the directions of the Supreme Court.

S.No.	District	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	SURAJPUR	Bhatgaon	NARENDRA SAHU	BSP	0	0	in Comparison to the other candidates and their history, it was found to be Suitable being the candidate has stated the false FIR has been lodged against him	The Offences are not grave one seems to be based on political vindicate. His image Support by the local office bearers of the party as clean and good.

S.No.	District	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
2	Blood	Sanjari Balod	Rakesh Kumar Yadav	BJP	0	0	Shri. Rakesh Kumar Yadav is a highly dedicated individual who has tirelessly devoted their time and efforts to social service in the constituency for many years. They have a deep understanding of the needs and challenges of the people and have worked tirelessly to improve the quality of life for all members of the community. They have built strong relationships with the voters, establishing a genuine and positive rapport with them, and have earned their trust and respect. Their unwavering commitment to the betterment of the community is reflected in their track record of achievements and the numerous positive changes they have brought about. We are confident that they are the best choice to represent the constituency and will continue to serve the people with passion, dedication, and integrity.	The Bharatiya Janata Party is dedicated to selecting the most qualified candidate who can best represent the hopes and desires of the people of the constituency. After extensive consideration and evaluation of all potential candidates, the current candidate was selected as the most suitable choice. The party firmly believes that this candidate has the necessary skills, experience, and dedication to best serve the people of the constituency and represent the values of the BJP. We are confident that this candidate has the ability to bring about positive change and progress for the constituency and will work tirelessly to meet the needs of the people. Therefore, we stand by our decision to field this candidate, who we believe is the best choice to represent the BJP and the people of the constituency.
3	Raipur	Raipur City South	Brijmohan Aggarwal	BJP	0	0	Shri Brijmohan Aggarwal is a highly dedicated individual who has tirelessly devoted their time and efforts to social service in the constituency for many years. They have a deep understanding of the needs and challenges of the people and have worked tirelessly to improve the quality of life for all members of the community. They have built strong relationships with the voters, establishing a genuine and positive rapport with them, and have earned their trust and respect. Their unwavering commitment to the betterment of the community is reflected in their track record of achievements and the numerous positive changes they have brought about. We are confident that they are the best choice to represent the constituency and will continue to serve the people with passion, dedication, and integrity.	The Bharatiya Janata Party is dedicated to selecting the most qualified candidate who can best represent the hopes and desires of the people of the constituency. After extensive consideration and evaluation of all potential candidates, the current candidate was selected as the most suitable choice. The party firmly believes that this candidate has the necessary skills, experience, and dedication to best serve the people of the constituency and represent the values of the BJP. We are confident that this candidate has the ability to bring about positive change and progress for the constituency and will work tirelessly to meet the needs of the people. Therefore, we stand by our decision to field this candidate, who we believe is the best choice to represent the BJP and the people of the constituency.

- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**

- Out of **52** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **39 (75%)** candidates.
- Out of **24** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **17 (71%)** candidates.
- For **13 (25%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.

- **Reasons given for top 3 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Ashish Chhabda	BEMETARA	INC	10	3	Format C7 <b>not published</b> by political party on official website and social media handles	
2	Vijay Sharma	KAWARDHA	BJP	7	11	Shri. Vijay Sharma is a highly dedicated individual who has tirelessly devoted their time and efforts to social service in the constituency for many years. They have a deep understanding of the needs and challenges of the people and have worked tirelessly to improve the quality of life for all members of the community. They have built strong relationships with the voters, establishing a genuine and positive rapport with them, and have earned their trust and respect. Their unwavering commitment to the betterment of the community is reflected in their track record of achievements and the numerous positive changes they have brought about. We are confident that they are the best choice to represent the constituency and will continue to serve the people with passion, dedication, and integrity.	The Bharatiya Janata Party is dedicated to selecting the most qualified candidate who can best represent the hopes and desires of the people of the constituency. After extensive consideration and evaluation of all potential candidates, the current candidate was selected as the most suitable choice. The party firmly believes that this candidate has the necessary skills, experience, and dedication to best serve the people of the constituency and represent the values of the BJP. We are confident that this candidate has the ability to bring about positive change and progress for the constituency and will work tirelessly to meet the needs of the people. Therefore, we stand by our decision to field this candidate, who we believe is the best choice to represent the BJP and the people of the constituency.
3	Narendra Bhavani	JAGDALPUR	AAP	6	3	A dedicated group worker with immense support vociferously raising his voice against the injustice perpetuated by the system. FIR against him is politically motivated and no such ground found to deny him party ticket.	Candidate was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area no other candidate with similar ground support.

**Table: Reasons given for top 3 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases**

• **Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
Candidate is a highly dedicated individual who has tirelessly devoted their time and efforts to social service in the constituency for many years. They have a deep understanding of the needs and challenges of the people and have worked tirelessly to improve the quality of life for all members of the community. They have built strong relationships with the voters, establishing a genuine and positive rapport with them, and have earned their trust and respect. Their unwavering commitment to the betterment of the community is reflected in their track record of achievements and the numerous positive changes they have brought about. We are confident that they are the best choice to represent the constituency and will continue to serve the people with passion, dedication, and integrity.	Party is dedicated to selecting the most qualified candidate who can best represent the hopes and desires of the people of the constituency. After extensive consideration and evaluation of all potential candidates, the current candidate was selected as the most suitable choice. The party firmly believes that this candidate has the necessary skills, experience, and dedication to best serve the people of the constituency and represent the values of the BJP. We are confident that this candidate has the ability to bring about positive change and progress for the constituency and will work tirelessly to meet the needs of the people. Therefore, we stand by our decision to field this candidate, who we believe is the best choice to represent the BJP and the people of the constituency.
Skills related to the path you are contesting, proficiency in areas such as communication, leadership and problem solving are also important. Better knowledge of the functioning of the political system, popularity among voters, ability to appeal to a wide range of people and attract public support, ability to maintain a balance between different regions and communities, candidates' belief in the ideology of the chosen part. and has been chosen because of its commitment to the values.	The party holds internal discussions and negotiations to select the candidate. Factors like regional representation play an important role in the selection of a candidate. The choice of candidate depends on the voter. The candidate has the skills, experience, and dedication necessary to represent the values of Congress. This candidate has the potential to bring positive change and progress in the constituency and will work tirelessly to fulfil the need of the people.
In Comparison to the other candidates and their history, it was found to be Suitable being the candidate has stated the false FIR has been lodged against him	The Offences are not grave one seems to be based on political vindicta. His image Support by the local office bearers of the party as clear and good
A dedicated group worker with immense support vociferously raising his voice against the injustice perpetuated by the system. FIR against him/her are politically motivated and no such ground found to deny him party ticket.	Candidate was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area no other candidate with similar ground support.

**Table: Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background**

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal background\*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal background	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
INC	15	7	47%
BJP	17	3	18%
BSP	3	0	0%
AAP	16	0	0%
CPI(M)	1	0	0%

**Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal background**

\*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.

- **Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total
1	Ashish Chhabda	Bemetara	INC	10
2	Shailesh Pandey	Bilaspur	INC	5
3	O.P.Choudhary	Raigarh	BJP	5

Table: Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published

- **Other discrepancies in Format C7 of some candidates:**

- Details of criminal cases for **Bomdha Mandavi (AAP candidate)** are different in **Format C-2 (2 cases)** and **Format C-7 (1 case)**

### Financial Background

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the **52** candidates, **33 (63%)** are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal backgrounds:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets
1	Khadgraj Singh	Kawardha	AAP	1	0	40,01,28,992 40 Crore+
2	Bhupesh Baghel	Patan	INC	1	0	33,38,92,433 33 Crore+
3	Saurabh Singh	Akaltara	BJP	1	0	25,95,21,394 25 Crore+

Table: Top 3 candidates with criminal background having highest declared assets

## Analysis of Format C7 – Telangana Assembly Elections, 2023

### Political parties analysed:

In the Telangana **2023** Assembly Elections, out of **104** political parties which contested the election, the following **5** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Bharatiya Janata Party
2. Indian National Congress
3. Bahujan Samaj Party
4. Bharat Rashtra Samithi
5. Telangana Republican Party

### Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **463** contesting candidates analysed, **265 (57%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **463** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **179 (39%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**
  - Out of **265** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **147 (55%)** candidates.
  - Out of **179** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **106 (59%)** candidates.
  - For **118 (45%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.
- **Reasons given for top 3 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Anumula Revanth Reddy	Kamareddy	INC	89	49	Presently Member of Parliament and popular public figure.	As per wishes of the congress workers of the constituency.

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
2	T. Raja Singh	Goshamahal	BJP	87	102	All these cases are politically foisted case.	This candidate is well educated. the cases are politically motivated, he has good reputation in the society. Always fight for the poor people.
3	Bandi Sanjay Kumar	Karimnagar	BJP	59	31	All these cases are politically foisted case.	This candidate is well educated. the cases are politically motivated, he has good reputation in the society. Always fight for the poor people.

**Table: Reasons given for top 3 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases**

• **Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal background	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
All these cases are politically foisted case.	This candidate is well educated. the cases are politically motivated, he has good reputation in the society. Always fight for the poor people.
The candidates are loyal to the party and unwavering in taking forward the programs of the leadership. Their experience in the public life is commendable and they represent all sections of the Telangana Society. Apart from being that they are well educated and held several positions in the society.	The reasons which are explained in para number two above are equally applicable to this answer as far as the desirability of the selections of the candidates when compared with other aspirants without criminal antecedents. Besides that, it is important to note here that the party has assessed various other aspirants who does not have any criminal cases on them and found they are nowhere near to the factors for which the party selected the candidates herein above.
A well-known social worker	As per wishes of the congress workers of the constituency.
In comparison to others candidates and their history, it was found to be suitable being the candidate has stated that false FIR has been lodged against him.	The offences are not grave one seems to be based on political vendetta. His image supported by the local office bearers of the party as clean and good.

**Table: Top commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal background**

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal background\*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal background	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
INC	85	7	8%
BJP	79	52	66%
BSP	40	3	8%
BRS	58	56	97%
Telangana Republican Party	3	0	0%

**Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal background**

*\*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.*

- **Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total
1	Soyam Bapurao	Boath (ST)	BJP	55
2	Katipally Venkata Ramana Reddy	Kamareddy	BJP	11
3	Gangula Kamalakar	Karimnagar	BRS	10

Table: Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published

- **Other discrepancies in Format C7 of some candidates:**

Name of the Party	Remarks
BJP	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. <b>Refer Party Website Link Given Here:</b> <a href="https://www.facebook.com/BJP4Telangana/posts/746084167563075/">https://www.facebook.com/BJP4Telangana/posts/746084167563075/</a>
INC	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the sections for reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. <b>Refer Party Website Link Given Here:</b> <a href="https://www.inc.in/declaration-of-inc-candidates">https://www.inc.in/declaration-of-inc-candidates</a>

**Details of criminal cases are different in Format C-2 and Format C-7**

Candidate	Party	Total cases as per format C2	Total cases as per format C7
Kommuri Pratap Reddy	INC	5	2
Kokkerala Premsagar Rao	INC	40	26
Danasari Anasuya Seethakka	INC	6	1
Chikkudu Vamshi Krishna	INC	6	4
Chinthakunta Vijaya Ramana Rao	INC	10	9
Beem Bharath Pamena	INC	9	13
Ponnam Prabhakar	INC	7	6



### Financial Background

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the **265** candidates, **218 (82%)** are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal backgrounds:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets
1	Gaddam Vivekanand	Chennur (SC)	INC	5	2	6,06,67,86,871 606 Crore+
2	Komatireddy Raj Gopal Reddy	Munugode	INC	4	0	4,58,39,39,115 458 Crore+
3	Kumbam Anil Kumar Reddy	Bhongir	INC	1	0	2,11,84,94,530 211 Crore+

**Table: Top 3 candidates with criminal background having highest declared assets**

## Observations by ADR

### I. General:

Functioning of our political parties can only be regulated by adopting stringent measures which are enforced by concerned agencies like the ECI and the law and order machinery. Mere warnings issued to political parties will not help the cause. In 2015, the Supreme Court had left it to the wisdom of the Prime Minister and Chief Ministers of the states to not appoint ministers in their cabinet with criminal backgrounds. However, since 2015, the crime rate in the legislative offices has only escalated further. On 30th August, 2020 the Madras High Court had not only asked the Central Government to ***“enact a law to prohibit candidates with criminal background contesting the elections to the Parliament as well as State legislatures”*** but had also emphasized that ***“the Central Government has to come out with a comprehensive legislation to prohibit persons with criminal background from contesting elections to Parliament, State Legislatures and local bodies”***.

The stipulation that more people who are ***honest, fair, credible, capable and men of character and integrity***, should contest elections and be the key policy makers, holds no ground in the Indian Political System. Over the years, political establishments have completely disregarded or intentionally side-lined the reforms suggested by various committees, citizens and civil societies. It is on record that various recommendations given by several committees dating as far back as 1999, are lying un actioned.

In the Format C7, under the column where ***“Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected”***, it is noticed that in most cases, instead of giving cogent answers to the question, justification is given as to why the candidate in question has been selected.

How casually political parties take the SC and ECI directions is evident from the list of C7 format available on the websites of BJP, INC, AAP, BSP, BRS and others for the 2023 assembly elections. While giving reasons for fielding candidates with criminal cases, the exact same reasons have been replicated for all candidates.

## **II. Blatant contempt of the Supreme Court directions:**

ADR's analysis of publication of criminal antecedents by political parties reveals major shortcomings in the implementation of the SC judgement. Several political parties, regardless of their current political outreach and popularity, **did not have a functional website** to publish details of candidates with criminal background along with reasons, or they were not uploaded on the websites and on social media platforms which made it difficult to access these forms. There were yet others that had a separate section dedicated for election information, but they either **failed to upload necessary documents or had dysfunctional website tabs**. Notably, even among the few political parties that published Format C7's within the stipulated time period, there were some grave problems which emerged upon analysis of the information provided through these affidavits. These included a) **justifying fielding of tainted candidates with unfounded and baseless reasons like chances of winning, popularity of the person, does good social work, offences not being grave in nature, cases are politically motivated**, b) **repetition of reasons** outlined through forms, not just for candidates within a single political party, but also for those contesting on behalf of other parties; and c) **publication of Format C2 (information with particulars on criminal cases pending against candidates) but not Format C7 (information regarding pending criminal cases along with reasons)**.

Other discrepancies include **omission of crucial information** on affidavits, such as name of candidate and reason for selection (which is the primary purpose of Format C7), as well as **submission of data in incorrect (letter) format**. This is especially of concern in light of the total number of pending cases against the candidates in question, and their categorisation under 'serious criminal cases'. **It is also important to note that for all the State Assembly elections, reasons for inclusion of independent candidates with criminal background has not been provided on any public platform.**

## **III. Strong muscle and money nexus cannot be reprimanded by mere pious hopes:**

Criminal elements have been playing a major role in the electoral process in India both as candidates for elections and as party workers. The nexus between politicians, bureaucrats, and criminal elements in our society has been on the rise, the adverse effects of which are increasingly being felt on various aspects of social life in India. **Such a strong criminal political bureaucratic nexus in our electoral and political process has to be confronted with resolve and determination by ECI and law enforcement agencies.**

**The present law i.e. section 8 of the Representation of People's Act, 1951 and the repeated orders issued by courts have not been able to deter politicians with criminal backgrounds from occupying high offices as MPs, MLAs and Ministers.** Conviction rate under our judicial system has been falling over the years. More importantly, the time taken for trials is unduly long. In addition, politicians do not even diligently or properly furnish each

and every information as required under Form 26 or without constant reminders and warnings by the Election Commission of India. The result is that the law breakers have become law makers.

#### **IV. Absence of Law, Rules, and Regulations:**

There is no well-defined process in the selection of candidates by the political parties. There is no law for regulating the functioning of political parties. There is no way to penalise the office bearers of the political parties in case of any conflict or contravention with rules or laws. Political parties have blatantly refused to come under RTI law. Tickets are given to the candidates for contesting elections on the sole basis of winnability factor. Historically, it has been observed that muscle power and money power make a winning combination. Candidates with criminal background quiet easily make their foray into the Lok Sabha and State Assembly elections as political parties do not hesitate in giving tickets to such candidates.

#### **V. How and when will the contempt action be taken?**

In view of the Supreme Court's orders dated 25th September, 2018 and 13th February, 2020 and as per the ECI's letter dated 6th March, "***if a political party fails to submit*** such compliances report with the Election Commission, the ***Election commission shall bring such non-compliance by the political party concerned to the notice of the Supreme Court as being in contempt of this Court's orders/directions***". However, there is no information available about any such contempt action having been taken against these political parties. In reality, citizens are not sure whether the ECI has reported to the Supreme Court the non-compliance of its directions by some political parties in the recently held elections. It is also not clear if the ECI even keeps a tab over the submission and maintenance of these forms.

#### **VI. Steps taken by ADR:**

- a) ADR had pursued this deliberate act of contempt by political parties of the directions dated 13th February, 2020 and 25th September, 2018 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court wherein the Hon'ble court in its directions dated 17th March 2023 had directed ADR to, "***pursue its remedies before the Election Commission of India***".
- b) On **19-06-2023** ADR had filed an application before the Election Commission of India against political parties regarding the wilful disobedience and violation of these mandatory directions highlighting the fact that in spite of the repeated requests and reminders given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other main stakeholders including the Election Commission of India, political parties had completely failed to follow the aforementioned directions during the Assembly Elections held in the years 2023, 2022 and 2021.

- c) The application filed by ADR had sought strict action to be initiated against the defaulting political parties which **had contested 2023 Assembly Elections held in Tripura, Meghalaya, Nagaland and Karnataka, 2022 Assembly elections held in Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Goa, Manipur and Punjab and 2021 Assembly Elections held in the States of West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, Assam and UT of Puducherry.**
- d) On **21-11-2023**, a reminder letter was sent by ADR to the Commission to inquire about the status of the action taken in the light of application dated 19-06-2023. **Since then, no response regarding any action taken by the Commission nor any acknowledgment of the application filed has been received.**
- e) On **08-01-2024** ADR along with Gujarat Election Watch had written to the Election Commission highlighting the discrepancies found in C7 and C8 forms during the Gujarat State Assembly Elections, 2022, however no action or response has been received since then.

## Recommendations by ADR

There is no dearth of solutions to curb the ever-growing problem of criminality in politics. ***What is required is the courage and will to do the same. Lawmakers will not frame laws that ban the unimpeded and unchecked entry of politicians with criminal cases. Constitutional bodies and institutions will continue to take refuge under reasons like 'lack of power'.*** In fact, on 20th July, 2021 while hearing the contempt petition against publication of reasons for selection of candidates with criminal cases by political parties, the Bench headed by Justice R.F Nariman and Justice B.R Gavai had added, ***"We are certain that the legislative branch will not take this forward, not only in the foreseeable future, but at any time in the future"*** Given the current situation, where all political parties stand united and determined to stall any attempts to bring accountability, transparency, and fairness in our electoral process, it becomes imperative to remind the key duty holders of their role duties in preserving, protecting, and defending the Constitution. The only way to remedy the existing problem of criminalization is to immediately act upon the plausible solutions offered by the judiciary, various committees, civil society, and citizens.

Until and unless these trends are not reined in, our current electoral and political situation is bound to deteriorate further. It is after all the electorate, who has to suffer on account of criminalization and often can do little but helplessly participate in the election of the mighty and moneyed criminal elements. ADR, therefore, proposes following recommendations that need to be acted upon immediately ***without further delay and damage to our Participatory democracy and Rule of Law.***

### **A) Case specific recommendations:**

- a. **Show cause notice:** The Election Commission should take note of the current situation and reprimand political parties and politicians for failure to abide by the lawful directions of the Commission, complete lack of will, reprehensible predilection and absence of required laws. ***A "show cause notice"*** should be sent to those political parties who failed to follow the mandatory directions. In addition, the Commission should also immediately take a strict contempt action against political parties, their office bearers and candidates for blatantly bypassing its 25th September 2018 and 13th February 2020 orders.
- b. **De-registration of Political parties:** The Commission should deregister those political parties who are found guilty of such violation by invoking its powers under Article 324 of the Constitution read with Section 29(A)(5) of the RP Act,1951.
- c. **De-recognition of political parties:** Failure to abide by the lawful directions of the Election Commission and the Hon'ble Supreme Court should be treated as a serious breach under Paragraph 16A of the Election Symbols (Reservation and Allotment) Order, 1968 and therefore, the Election

Commission of India should invoke its powers under Paragraph 16A read with Article 324 of the Constitution and suspend or withdraw recognition of a recognized political party for its incessant failure and disobedience of the SC directions.

- d. **Officer bearers of a Political Party to file annual information on criminal antecedents:** Under the '*Guidelines and Application Format for Registration of political parties' under Section 29A of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and 'Registration of Political Parties (Furnishing of Additional Particulars) Order, 1992'* Election Commission of India should not only ask for the information regarding criminal antecedents of the Office Bearers such as President, Secretary, General Secretary, Chairperson, Convenor, Treasurer etc only at the time of registration but also ask each political party to annually file information on criminal antecedents of their Office Bearers. This information should also be made available to the public ***including NIL records*** and should be displayed outside each polling booth during elections.
- e. **List of defaulting political parties to be prepared and shared by ECI:** Election Commission of India is expected to implement the 25th September, 2018 and 13th February, 2020 SC orders in its letter and spirit. The Commission should immediately submit a list of such defaulting political parties to the Supreme Court after each election. It should also list out names of such tainted candidates selected by the political parties along with such reasons for such selection. These lists should be religiously prepared and submitted to the Supreme Court after every election and the same should be uploaded on ECI's website for public inspection.
- f. **Reporting of such contempt to the Supreme Court of India:** The Election Commission should immediately report such default to the Supreme Court during each election. In addition, ECI must ensure that the Supreme Court's directions are being truly implemented by political parties by taking concrete steps in the light of reasons given by political parties in Form C7 and C8, diligent publication of reasons in newspapers, T.V channels, party website etc and strict and constant reminders by ROs to the defaulters.
- g. **Contempt action against its orders by Supreme Court:** The Supreme Court of India being the ultimate custodian of "Justice and Rule of Law" should take note of the current situation and ***reprimand political parties and politicians for such contempt, complete lack of will, reprehensible predilection and absence of required laws***. In addition, the Supreme Court should also ***immediately take a strict contempt action against political parties, their office bearers and candidates for blatantly bypassing its 25th September 2018 and 13th February 2020 orders***.
- h. **Parties must face consequences for breach:** Political Parties must realize that the aforementioned ***SC directions are mandatory and therefore the compliance is not optional***. Parties should be held accountable for brazenly defying the Supreme Court's order dated

25th September, 2018 and 13th February 2020. ***There should be a heavy financial penalty levied on them for making insufficient disclosures, invalid and common reasons, selection of candidates based on winnability, failing to submit the Compliance Report on time etc. Officer in-charge of a political party pertaining to submission of a compliance report should also be held accountable for such a breach.***

- i. **Creation of separate cell to monitor compliance:** ECI should constitute a **separate cell for monitoring and audit of C7 and C8 forms** submitted by parties during elections in order to minutely check/verify/cross verify the compliance of these forms and appropriate actions should be taken immediately to ensure such compliance. This should also include strict and constant reminders by ROs to the defaulters. **Para 73 of the Supreme Court judgment in Contempt Petition (C) No. 656 of 2020 titled Brajesh Singh Vs. Sunil Arora & Ors** already requires the Commission to take requisite action against defaulting parties as contemplated under the judgment including creation of a separate cell to monitor the required compliances and to promptly apprise the Hon'ble Supreme Court of such non-compliance by any political party.
- j. **Clarification guidelines:** ECI should issue modified guidelines specifically clarifying the ambiguities regarding format, font size, language etc to be used in the newspapers including the vernacular newspapers in the states. **These guidelines need to mention that the format C7 should be published in the same format as given by ECI in its directions dated 6th March, 2020 & 10th October, 2018 and political parties cannot change it or club it together based on their preference.** Uniform format will make it easier for the voter to identify C7 forms in any newspaper.
- k. **A dedicated mobile application:** The Supreme Court had also directed ECI directed to create a **dedicated mobile application** containing information published by candidates regarding their criminal antecedents, **so that at one stroke, each voter gets such information on his/her mobile phone.**
- l. **Extensive awareness campaign:** The Supreme Court had also directed ECI is directed to carry out an extensive awareness campaign to make every voter aware about his right to know and the availability of information regarding criminal antecedents of all contesting candidates. This shall be done across various platforms, including social media, websites, TV ads, prime time debates, pamphlets, etc.



In its judgment, the Supreme Court had ordered ECI to create a fund for this purpose within a period of 4 weeks into which fines for contempt of Court may be directed to be paid.

## **B) Other key recommendations on decriminalisation:**

- I. **Criteria for selection of candidates:** There should be a strict criterion for selection of candidates by political parties. As per the **Supreme Court judgment** dated **13th February 2020**, political parties are already required to give **reasons for selection** of candidates and **why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates**. As per the judgment the reasons as to selection shall be with reference to the **qualifications, achievements and merit of the candidate concerned**, and **not mere “winnability”** at the polls.
- II. **Disqualification on charges framed:** Problem of criminalization can be tackled if such tainted candidates are outrightly banned from entering the electoral process based on both stage and degree of crime. This can be achieved by **disqualifying candidates from contesting elections to the public offices against whom ‘charges have been framed by court’ for having committed serious criminal offences punishable by imprisonment of at least 5 years, and the case is filed at least 6 months prior to the election in question**.
- III. **Permanent disqualification for heinous offences:** It is reprehensible to have a Lawmakers charged/convicted of heinous crimes making laws for citizens and policies for the nation. **There should be a permanent disqualification of candidates convicted for heinous crimes like murder, rape, smuggling, dacoity, kidnapping, robbery etc.**
- IV. **Prior announcement of candidates contesting elections:** **List of candidates** contesting elections should be **announced at least 3 months prior to elections** and they should be required **to submit affidavits stating specific reasons for changing/joining a particular party and approximate amount to be spent by them in the next elections and of the source thereof**. All this information should be placed in the public domain.

- V. **False affidavit should lead to immediate disqualification:** Furnishing of false information in the affidavits by candidates should not be taken lightly by the ECI. ***It is after all, the first and foremost step in the direction of 'free and fair elections.'*** Section 125A of the RP Act,1951 has not been able to deter candidates from furnishing wrong/incorrect information as it only leads to a six months imprisonment or fine or both, and therefore doesn't attract disqualification. ***There should be an immediate disqualification of candidates who furnish misinformation, no information false, information in the election affidavit.***
- VI. **More power to NOTA:** The Supreme Court judgment dated 23rd September, 2013 on provision of NOTA buttons on the EVMs needs to be implemented in its letter and spirit by ensuring a) ***if NOTA gets more votes than any of the candidates, none of the candidates should be declared elected, and a fresh election should be held;*** b) ***in the fresh election, none of the candidates in the earlier election, in which NOTA got the highest number of votes, should be allowed to contest.***
- VII. **Fast tracking of cases for MLAs/MPs:** All pending cases against MPs and MLAs should be fast tracked and brought to conclusion ***within a period of one year as mandated by the Supreme Court orders dated 10th March 2014 and 1st November 2017.*** This will also help in ensuring that the arbitrary and unbridled power given under Section 321 of the Cr.P.C is not misused by the governments of the day by ordering withdrawal of cases pending against powerful politicians, ministers and other rich and powerful people.
- VIII. **Declare Political parties as Public Authorities:** It is the political parties that form the government, man the Parliament, and run the governance of the country. Where bringing political parties under the ambit of Right to Information Act,2005 will usher transparency and accountability in the functioning of political parties and party leaders at one hand, on the other, it will also give a chance to the citizens to play their part in a democracy by acting as a watchdog. ***Bringing parties under RTI law will not only empower the citizens to question, audit, review, examine, and assess information like inner party elections, criteria for ticket distribution but it will also allow people to seek definite and direct answers from the office bearers for the kind of candidates being fielded by our political parties. Therefore, it is high time that the Supreme Court of India takes note of this current predicament and upholds and implements the 3rd June 2013 CIC order by bringing the parties under the ambit of RTI Act.***

- IX. **A comprehensive law to regulate political parties' affairs:** Political parties are the ultimate repository and guardian of our whole constitutional, democratic, social-economic set up, but *we don't have a single comprehensive law entirely dealing with political parties. In absence of a comprehensive law, citizens cannot question, appraise and audit the functioning of political class and politicians.* Therefore, there is a dire need for a comprehensive legislation *regulating the functioning of political parties, recognition of their party constitution, election at various levels of party organs, conditions for registration and de-registration, compulsory maintenance of accounts, women representation at organisational positions,* as recommended in the '170th Law Commission Report, Part III, Chapter I' and Chapter 8 of the NCRW report.
- X. **Introduce provisions for inner-party democracy within political parties:** In spite of being one of the largest democracies in the world, our political parties which run this democracy are painfully undemocratic in their functioning. Political parties have miserably failed in their 'Code of conduct' and self-initiated reforms for themselves. Therefore, mandatory provisions should be made to *introduce inner-party democracy, transparent decision-making, ticket distribution, elections of office bearers, financial transparency and stronger organisational discipline* within the political parties. This should include *mandatory secret ballot voting for all elections for all inner party posts and selection of candidates, as suggested by the 170th Law Commission Report.*
- XI. **Annual Report by MPs and MLAs:** Elected MPs and MLAs should be required to submit an '*Annual Report to their constituency giving details of their accomplishments for previous year and the plan for the next year.*' This report should be made available at the Lok Sabha/Rajya Sabha/ State Assembly website and on the Election Commission's website.
- XII. **First-past-the-post, "50%+1 of the registered votes cast":** As per the recommendations given by various committees, Law Commission and NCRWC, '*no candidate should be declared elected unless he or she secures more than 50% of the votes cast*'. In the case when no candidate gets the required number of votes, *there should be a runoff between the top two candidates getting maximum votes.* It is worth noting that 50%+1 of the *votes cast* is an easier requirement for being declared elected, a more stringent requirement, and the ideal to ensure appropriate and proper representation.

## Upgraded MyNeta App



**Association for Democratic Reforms and  
National Election Watch present**

# THE UPGRADED MYNETA APP



**DOWNLOAD TODAY!**

And be a part of our **#MeraVoteMeraDesh** Campaign



Scan the QR code to download

Visit our website:  
[www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org)  
[www.myneta.info](http://www.myneta.info)

## Donate to ADR

We need your help to support our #MeraVoteMeraDesh campaign for upcoming State Assembly Elections and Lok Sabha Elections. The campaign aims to eradicate corruption & criminalization in the political process, empower the voters through greater dissemination of information on the candidates and the parties.

You can contribute to us using the following QR Code or access the link on our website [here](#).



## ADR Speaks Podcast

**ADR Speaks** is a podcast series on issues related to electoral & political reforms. It focuses on the findings of ADR reports analysing background details of candidates, sources of political parties' income, election expenditure, Electoral Bonds etc. In these episodes, ADR breaks down key findings of its reports for simple understanding & accessibility to the general public, enabling them to make an informed choice. ADR podcast will also host discussions with experts, research scholars, public intellectuals, former election officials etc. on issues concerning India's democratic politics. [Please click on the icon to access the episodes on ADR website.](#)



Listen to Our Podcast on  
 **Anchor**®

Other platforms



## To Get Information About Candidates/Parties/MPs/MLAs/Corporators/PILs in courts

Journalist Helpline no: 8010394248

Subscribe to ADR on [WhatsApp](#)  
for updates: 7840067840

Visit: [www.myneta.info](http://www.myneta.info), [www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org)

Email: [adr@adrindia.org](mailto:adr@adrindia.org)

To contact ADR State Partners, visit:  
<https://adrindia.org/about-adr/state-coordinators>

### Social Media

 /myneta.info   
  /adr.new   
  @adrspeaks   
  /adrspeaks   
  /adrspeaks  
 <https://www.linkedin.com/company/association-for-democratic-reforms/>

### Our Websites

[www.adrindia.org](http://www.adrindia.org)

Provides detailed analytical reports of Lok Sabha, State Assemblies, local body elections & financial reports of political parties & ongoing PILs in courts

[www.myneta.info](http://www.myneta.info)

Provides full information of criminal cases, asset, liability and education details declared by candidates in the self sworn affidavits

### Android Apps

**Myneta:** The criminal, financial, educational & other background information self declared by candidates in their affidavits during elections is now available on your mobile phones.

**Election Watch Reporter:** This app provides a tool to the citizens to capture violations of election related laws & the code of conduct.

Both the applications are available on Google Play Store

### Office Address

Association for Democratic Reforms  
T-95, CL House, Second Floor,  
Near Gulmohar Commercial Complex, Gautam Nagar,  
Landmark: Green Park Metro Station (GN exit),  
New Delhi-110 049,  
Tel.: 011- 41654200, Fax: 011 - 46094248

## Contact Details

### Association for Democratic Reforms/National Election Watch

<p><b>Media and Journalist Helpline</b></p> <p>+91 80103 94248 Email: <a href="mailto:adr@adrindia.org">adr@adrindia.org</a></p>	<p><b>Maj.Gen. Anil Verma (Retd)</b> <b>Head</b></p> <p>Association for Democratic Reforms, National Election Watch 011 4165 4200, +91 87997 18472 <a href="mailto:adr@adrIndia.org">adr@adrIndia.org</a>, <a href="mailto:anilverma@adrindia.org">anilverma@adrindia.org</a></p>	<p><b>Prof Jagdeep Chhokar</b> <b>IIM Ahmedabad (Retd.)</b> <b>Founder Member,</b></p> <p>Association for Democratic Reforms, National Election Watch <a href="mailto:jchhokar@gmail.com">jchhokar@gmail.com</a></p>	<p><b>Prof Trilochan Sastry</b> <b>IIM Bangalore</b> <b>Founder Member,</b></p> <p>Association for Democratic Reforms, National Election Watch +91 94483 53285 <a href="mailto:tsastry@gmail.com">tsastry@gmail.com</a></p>
--	---	--	---

### DISCLAIMER

Data used in this report has been exactly taken as it is from Format C7 posted by political parties on their official websites/social media handles. **ADR does not add or subtract any information, unless the political parties change the data. In particular, no unverified information from any other source is used.** While all efforts have been made to ensure that the information is in keeping with what is available on the political party websites, in case of discrepancy between information in this report and that given in the official websites of political parties, the information available on the political parties' websites should be treated as correct. Association for Democratic Reforms is not responsible or liable for any damage arising directly or indirectly from the publication of this report.