



***25 Years
in the service of the nation***

23rd October, 2024

Press Release

Analysis of Format C7 - Publication of Reasons Given for Selection of Candidates with Criminal Cases by Political Parties

Lok Sabha Elections 2024 and Andhra Pradesh, Odisha, Arunachal Pradesh, and Sikkim Assembly Elections 2024

Association for Democratic Reforms

T-95, C.L. House, 2nd Floor,
Near Gulmohar Commercial Complex
Gautam Nagar,
New Delhi-110 049
Phone: +91-011-4165-4200
Email: adr@adrindia.org

Content

S.No.	Description	Page Number
1	Introduction	4
2	EC's Directions in compliance with SC orders on Publication of Criminal Cases by Candidates and Political Parties including recording of reasons for selection	5
3	Summary and Highlights of the Report	8
4	Analysis of Format C7 – Lok Sabha Elections, 2024	9
5	Analysis of Format C7 – Andhra Pradesh Assembly Elections, 2024	16
6	Analysis of Format C7 – Odisha Assembly Elections, 2024	20
7	Analysis of Format C7 – Arunachal Pradesh Assembly Elections, 2024	24
	Analysis of Format C7 – Sikkim Assembly Elections, 2024	27
8	Observations by ADR	30
9	Recommendations by ADR	34
10	Contact Details	42

Introduction

On 13th February 2020 the Supreme Court had directed political parties *to list out reasons on their website including their social media platforms for nominating candidates with criminal background within 72 hours of the selection of such candidates*. This direction of the Apex Court had come in the light of a contempt petition filed against the non-implementation of its earlier order dated **25th September, 2018** on publication of criminal cases by candidates and political parties which clearly were not taken very seriously. Consequently, the Supreme Court had reprimanded political parties for failing to widely publish the details of criminal cases pending against the candidates selected by them. Going one step further, the Supreme Court in its directions had also specifically instructed political parties *to give reasons for such selection and why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates*. As per these mandatory guidelines, the reasons for such selection have to be with reference to *qualifications, achievements, and merit of the candidate* concerned. Sadly, even these directions of the Supreme Court have had no effect on the political parties in selection of candidates as they have again followed their old practice of giving tickets to candidates based on *'Muscle and Money power'*. On 15th July 2021 and 20 July 2021, the Supreme Court again considered the contempt by political parties against the wilful disobedience of the Apex Court's order dated 13th February 2020. While observing the egregious default by political parties, the Supreme Court also stated *that neither the Legislature nor the Political Parties will ever be keen on taking steps to stop the entry of candidates charged with criminal cases*.

In order to curb this blatant practice of giving tickets to candidates with criminal background, the SC has, lately given four orders; **10th March, 2014 (Trial within one year)**; **1st November, 2017 (Special 11 fast-track courts)**; **25th September, 2018 (Publication of criminal cases)**; **13th February, 2020 (Reasons for giving tickets to candidates with criminal cases)**. Unfortunately, none of these orders have been able to dissuade parties from giving tickets to candidates with criminal background rather than entry to *clean, credible and honest candidates*.

¹ https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/24482/24482_2020_32_11_28409_Order_15-Jul-2021.pdf

² https://main.sci.gov.in/supremecourt/2020/24482/24482_2020_32_1_28730_Order_20-Jul-2021.pdf

³ https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Supreme_Court_10-03-2014_daily_order_in_PFI_vs_UOI_0.pdf

⁴ https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Order_dated_01_-_Nov_-_2017_Ashwini_Upadhaya_case.pdf

⁵ https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/judgment_on_de-criminalization_25-Sep-2018.pdf

⁶ https://adrindia.org/sites/default/files/Supreme_Court_judgement_dated_13th_Feb_2020_in_Contempt_petition_No_2192_of_2018.pdf

EC's directions (in its letters dated 6th Mar'20 & 10th Oct'18) in compliance with SC orders dated 25th September 2018 and 13th February 2020 on Publication of Criminal Cases by Candidates and Political Parties including recording of reasons for selection

ECI's Letter dated 6th March 2020 in compliance with Supreme Court directions dated 13th February 2020 stated:

- 1) It is mandatory for **political parties** at the Central and State election level to upload on their **website detailed information regarding candidates with pending criminal cases including the nature of offences, relevant particulars like whether charges have been framed, the concerned court, the case number etc.**
- 2) **Political parties** will also have to give reasons for such selection and why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates.
- 3) The reasons as to selection shall be with reference to the qualifications, achievements and merit of the candidate concerned, and **not mere "winnability"** at the polls.
- 4) This information shall also be published in: (a)One local vernacular newspaper and one national newspaper; (b)On the official social media platforms of the political party, including Facebook and Twitter.
- 5) These details shall be published within 48 hours of the selection of the candidate or not less than two weeks before the first date for filing of nominations whichever is earlier. For ensuring periodic awareness of electors during the campaign, ECI has now prescribed following timeline for publicity of criminal antecedents during the period starting from the day following the last date of withdrawal and up to 48 hours before ending with the hour fixed for conclusion of poll,
 - Within first 4 days of withdrawal of nominations,
 - Between next 5th - 8th days.
 - From 9th day till the last day of campaign (the second day prior to date of poll) the day

6) The **political party** concerned shall then submit a report of compliance with these directions with the Election Commission within 72 hours of the selection of the said candidate.

7) If a **political party fails to submit such a compliance report** with the Election Commission, the Election Commission shall bring such non-compliance by the political party concerned to the notice of the Supreme court as being in contempt of this court's orders/directions

ECI's Letter dated 10th October 2018 in compliance with Supreme Court directions dated 25th September 2018:

For Candidates:

1. Each contesting candidate shall fill up the form as provided by the Election Commission and the form **must contain all the particulars as required** therein.
2. It shall state, **in bold letters, with regard to the criminal cases pending against the candidate.**
3. If a candidate is contesting an election on the ticket of a particular party, **he/she is required to inform the party about the criminal cases pending against him/her.**

For Political Parties:

1. The concerned political party shall be **obligated to put up on its website the aforesaid information pertaining to candidates having criminal antecedents.**

Both Political Party and Candidates:

1. It is mandatory for **political parties** and **candidates** with criminal antecedents to publish the declaration **atleast on three different dates from the date following the last date of withdrawal of candidatures and up to two days before the date of poll.** The matter should be published in font size of at least 12 and should be placed suitably in newspapers. In case of **declaration in TV Channels, the same should be completed before a period of 48 hours ending with hours fixed for conclusion of poll.** There is a format provided by ECI for such a declaration by the candidates and political parties.

2. In case of non-compliance of the direction by the ***candidate/political parties, the returning officers will give a written reminder*** to them and in the event of non-compliance till the end of the elections, ***the returning officer will report to the state's Chief Electoral Officer who will intimate ECI. ECI will take a final decision in the matter.*** The standard format for such a reminder to the candidates and political parties is also annexed in the letter.
3. ***All political parties; recognized parties and registered unrecognized parties shall submit a report to the CEO of the concerned state stating that they have fulfilled the requirements of the directions and enclosing herewith the paper cuttings containing the directions.*** This shall be done within 30 days of the completion of elections. Thereafter, within the next 15 days, the CEO should submit a report to the ECI confirming compliance and pointing out cases of defaulters.

Format/Forms issued by ECI in pursuant to the aforementioned SC directions

It is to be noted that **Form C7 and C8** should be ***duly signed by the office bearer of a political party with proper name and designation.*** Form C8 shall also bear ***seal of the concerned political party.***

Format/Form	Action to be taken by	Platform
C1	Candidates	To publish information regarding criminal background in Newspapers and TV
C2	Political Parties	To publish information regarding criminal background in Newspapers, TV and Political party's website
C7	Political Parties	To publish information regarding criminal background <i>along with reasons</i> in Newspapers, social media platforms, website of political parties
C8	Political Parties to the Election Commission of India	Compliance Report with respect to the SC judgment dated 13th Feb, 2020

Summary and Highlights

Association for Democratic Reforms (ADR) has analysed Format C7 of 3190 candidates, who contested in the Lok Sabha Elections 2024 and Andhra Pradesh, Odisha, Arunachal Pradesh and Sikkim Assembly Election 2024:

This data has been compiled from political parties' websites as well as social media handles that were functional before and during the period of the above-mentioned State assembly elections. Most political parties published details as per form C7 on their social media handles such as Twitter. It must be noted that in some cases, parties may have published these details elsewhere and it may not have appeared in our records.

S.No.	Elections	Total Contesting Candidates	Number of Political Parties Analysed	Contesting Candidates from Shortlisted Political Parties	Number of Candidates Analysed with Declared Criminal Cases	No. of Candidates with Criminal Cases having a Published Format C7
1	Lok Sabha Elections 2024	8360	20	1718	637	523
2	Andhra Pradesh Assembly 2024	2387	7	679	279	214
3	Odisha Assembly 2024	1285	6	575	219	169
4	Arunachal Pradesh Assembly 2024	143	5	124	25	16
5	Sikkim Assembly 2024	146	3	94	6	4
Total		12321	41*	3190	1166	926

41*

No. of Political Parties Analysed

926

No. of Candidates with Criminal Cases having a Published Format C7 (79%)

240

No. of Candidates with Criminal Cases whose Format C7 is not Published (21%)

**Some political parties are common in all the states*

Analysis of Format C7 – Lok Sabha Elections, 2024

Political parties analysed:

In the **Lok Sabha Elections 2024**, out of **745** political parties which contested the election, the following **20** political parties have been analysed for this report.

S. No.	Party	S. No.	Party
1	BJP	11	DMK
2	INC	12	JD(U)
3	BSP	13	NCP – Sharadchandra Pawar
4	CPI(M)	14	RJD
5	AITC	15	SAD
6	AAP	16	Shiv Sena
7	CPI	17	Shiv Sena (UBT)
8	BJD	18	SP
9	BRS	19	TDP
10	AIADMK	20	YSRCP

Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **1718** contesting candidates analysed, **637 (37%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **1718** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **421 (25%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**
 - Out of **637** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **523 (82%)** candidates.
 - Out of **421** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **365 (87%)** candidates.
 - For **114 (18%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.

- Format C7 has been published for **6 candidates** even though no criminal cases have been reflected in the affidavit filed by them. This demonstrates carelessness, insincerity and extremely casual approach on the part of the political parties in adhering to the directions of the Supreme Court and ECI.

S.No.	State	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Uttar Pradesh	Sant Kabir Nagar	Praveen Nishad	BJP	0	0	He is two time setting Member of Parliament, further his name is forwarded by District unit of the party. His name is forwarded on the basis of merit, his social work and popularity in the constituency	He has been implicated in the case due to political rivalry. He is the sitting Member of Parliament and has been strongly associated and is extremely popular in this constituency hence he has been preferred over the other candidates
2	Uttar Pradesh	Hamirpur	Pushpendra Singh Chandel	BJP	0	0	He is two time setting Member of Parliament, further his name is forwarded by District unit of the party. His name is forwarded on the basis of merit, his social work and popularity in the constituency	He has been implicated in the case due to political rivalry. He is the sitting Member of Parliament and has been strongly associated and is extremely popular in this constituency hence he has been preferred over the other candidates
3	Uttar Pradesh	Amethi	Smriti Irani	BJP	0	0	She is two-time Member of Parliament, further her name is forwarded by District unit of the party. Her name is forwarded on the basis of merit, her social work and popularity in the constituency	She has been implicated in the case due to political rivalry. She is the sitting Member of Parliament and has been strongly associated and is extremely popular in this constituency hence she has been preferred over the other candidates
4	Uttar Pradesh	Dhaurahra	Rekha Verma	BJP	0	0	She is the National Vice President of the party, two time setting Member of Parliament, further her name is forwarded by District unit of the party. Her name is forwarded on the basis of merit, his social work and popularity in the constituency	She has been implicated in the case due to political rivalry. She is the sitting Member of Parliament and has been strongly associated and is extremely popular in this constituency hence he has been preferred over the other candidates
5	Uttar Pradesh	Farrukhabad	Kranti	BSP	0	0	In comparison to the other candidates and their history, it was found to be suitable being the candidate has stated that false FIR has been lodged against him	The Offences are seems to be based on political vendetta. His image supported by the local office bearers of the party as clean and good.

S.No.	State	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
6	Uttar Pradesh	Kaushambi	PUSHPENDRA SAROJ	SP	0	0	Mr. Indrajeet Saroj has been chosen as our Lok Sabha candidate for his unwavering commitment to social welfare, evidenced by extensive relief work during the pandemic and ongoing public service. He has done a lot of Social Work due to which he has gained immense respect and popularity, Samajwadi Party has made him its candidate from Kaushambi	Because Mr. Indrajeet Saroj is better than other applicant.

- **Reasons given for top 5 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases pending:

S.No.	State	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Kerala	Wayanad	K Surendran	BJP	243	139	Candidate is a social worker and an active politician. Candidate is loyal to the party and an ardent leader with high quality. Candidate is very senior sincere and dedicated party worker who has already been on various important positions. the selected candidate is having degree from Calicut university and having an outlook for public administration.	All the criminal cases against candidate are politically motivated and baseless. Such cases are fabricated and without any evidence. None of the alleged cases involves any allegation of moral turpitude. candidate is the best choice to the Bhartiya Janata Party as he is highly qualified and he is into political life for decades. As a senior party worker candidate had an edge over other individuals a considering the fact that whatever the charges are alleged in the police report have no substance and are purely with a vindictive approach and political vendetta being waged by political enemies. the case is long pending and part believes that there will be no adverse outcome against the candidate.
2	Kerala	Ernakulam	Dr. K. S. Radhakrishnan	BJP	211	5	Candidate is Highly Qualified Academician, Professor, philosopher, Orator, Writer and Doctor of Philosophy from Calicut University, He was the Vice Chancellor of Sree Sankaracharya University, Kalady and Former Chairman of Kerala public Service Commission. At present he is the Vice president of Bhartiya Janata Party. He is loyal to the party and an ardent leader with high quality.	All the Criminal cases against Radhakrishnan is Politically motivated and baseless. None of the Cases were charge sheeted after completion of investigation even after 5 years. Such cases are fabricated and without any evidence. None of the alleged cases involves any allegation of moral turpitude. Radhakrishnan is the best choice to the Bhartiya Janata Party as he is highly qualified and he is into political life for decades.

S.No.	State	Constituency	Candidate	Party	No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
3	West Bengal	Barrackpur	Arjun Singh	BJP	93	236	The selected candidate is a senior leader. popular leader very dynamic and dedicated party worker and the case is a politically motivated one	The selected candidate is a popular leader very dynamic party worker and the case is a politically motivated one the case is long pending and party believes that there will be no adverse outcome against the alleged accused.
4	Kerala	Idukki	Adv. Dean Kuriakose	INC	88	23	Proposed candidate is the sitting MP and Former Youth Congress President	The proposed candidate is the best person to represent the constituency among those considered
5	Telangana	Adilabad (ST)	Athram Suguna	INC	49	41	He is a well-known public figure.	As per wishes of the congress workers of the constituency

Table: Reasons given for top 5 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases pending

- **Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
A Dedicated ground worker with immense support & vociferously raising his voice against the injustice perpetuated by the system. FIR against him is politically motivated.	Candidates was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area No other candidate with similar support
The reason for selection of the candidate is the candidate's qualification and public popularity.	He is popular among the masses for his continuous dedicated struggles for the farmers, labourers and common people. He has been selected because of this ability.
Candidate is loyal to the party and unwavering in taking forward the programs of the leadership.	He is competent person than other application.
The candidate is very well known for her active social work. He/she has worked relentlessly for the development of people and has devoted all her efforts for which she is highly respected and loved by the people.	No other candidate with similar ground support
In comparison to the other candidates and their history, it was found to be suitable being the candidate has stated that false FIR has been lodged against him/her	The Offences are not grave one seems to be based on political vendetta. His/her image supported by the local office bearers of the party as clean and good.

Table: Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal cases pending	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
BJP	193	30	16%
INC	144	38	26%
BSP	63	0	0%
SP	40	2	5%
CPI(M)	33	17	52%
AITC	20	2	10%
RJD	18	0	0%
CPI	15	11	73%
AIADMK	13	2	15%
DMK	13	2	15%
AAP	12	2	17%
YSRCP	12	0	0%
ShivSena (Uddhav Balasaheb Thackeray)	11	6	55%
BRS	10	0	0%
TDP	9	0	0%
SAD	8	1	13%
Shiv Sena	8	0	0%
BJD	7	0	0%
JD(U)	4	1	25%
Nationalist Congress Party - Sharadchandra Pawar	4	0	0%

Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal cases pending

*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.

• **Top 5 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S.No.	State	Constituency	Candidate	Party	Total Cases
1	Odisha	Bhubaneswar	Syed Yashir Nawaz	INC	37
2	Madhya Pradesh	Rajgarh	Digvijaya Singh	INC	17
3	Uttar Pradesh	Fatehpur Sikri	Ramnath Singh Sikarwar	INC	17
4	Andhra Pradesh	Guntur	Jangala Ajay Kumar	CPI	14
5	Maharashtra	Parbhani	Comrade Rajan Kshirsagar	CPI	11

Table: Top 5 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published

• **Other discrepancies in Format C7 of some candidates:**

Name of the Party	Remarks
AAP	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. Refer Party Website Link Given Here: https://aamaadmiparty.org/c-7/
CPI(M)	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. Refer Party Website Link Given Here: https://cpim.org/elections-2024/
SAD	For all candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. Refer Party Website Link Given Here: https://shiromaniakalidal.com/en/akali-news
SP	For 99% candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in this section “reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates”. Refer Party Website Link Given Here: https://samajwadiparty.in/prees-releases
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Details of criminal cases for Sukanta Kumar Panigrahi (BJP candidate) are different in Format C-2 (4 cases) and Format C-7 (1 case) • Details of criminal cases for Jual Oram (BJP candidate) are different in Format C-2 (3 cases) and Format C-7 (2 cases) • Details of criminal cases for Neelam Madhu (INC candidate) are different in Format C-2 (14 cases) and Format C-7 (10 cases) • Details of criminal cases for Alok Misra (INC candidate) are different in Format C-2 (5 cases) and Format C-7 (1 case)

Financial Background

- **Crorepatri Candidates:** Out of the **637** candidates with declared criminal cases, **543 (85%)** are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal cases pending:

S. No.	Candidate	Constituency	State	Party	Total Cases	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets (Rs.)
1	Dr Chandra Sekhar Pemmasani	Guntur	Andhra Pradesh	TDP	1	2	57,05,47,27,538 5705 Crore+
2	Konda Vishweshwar Reddy	Chevella	Telangana	BJP	4	4	45,68,22,22,094 4568 Crore+
3	Naveen Jindal	Kurukshetra	Haryana	BJP	9	5	12,41,47,68,000 1241 Crore+

Table: Top 3 candidates having highest declared assets with criminal cases pending

Analysis of Format C7 – Andhra Pradesh Assembly Elections, 2024

Political parties analysed:

In the **Andhra Pradesh 2024** Assembly Elections, out of **88** political parties which contested the election, the following **7** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Bharatiya Janata Party
2. Indian National Congress
3. Bahujan Samaj Party
4. Communist Party of India (Marxist)
5. Janasena Party
6. Telugu Desam Party
7. Yuvajana Sramika Rythu Congress Party

Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **679** contesting candidates analysed, **279 (41%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **679** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **173 (25%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**
 - Out of **279** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **214 (77%)** candidates.
 - Out of **173** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **140 (81%)** candidates.
 - For **65 (23%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.

• **Reasons given for top 3 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases pending:

S.No.	District	Constituency	Candidate	Party	Total No. of cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	West Godavari	Denduluru	Chintamaneni Prabhakar	TDP	90	59	The Candidate is well respected and popular among masses.	The Candidate's contribution towards the social cause is well known. Further, all the cases against the candidate are politically motivated to tarnish the image of the candidate. Most of the criminal cases are foisted for political reasons more particularly between the period of 2019 to 2024.
2	Krishna	Vijayawada Central	Chigurupati Babu Rao	CPI(M)	37	4	Format C7 not published by political party on official website and social media handles	
3	East Godavari	Anaparthi	Ramakrishna Reddy Nallamilli	BJP	33	9	The Selected Candidate is a very senior sincere and dedicated party worker who has already been on various important positions as elected member of AMC. He served as the MLA for the Anaparthi Legislative Assembly during the term 2014-2019 and he had good reputation in the public	As a senior party worker, he had an edge over other individuals considering the fact that whatever charges are alleged in the charge sheet have no substance and are purely with a vindictive approach and personal vendetta being waged by his political enemies. The case is long pending and the party believes that there will be no adverse outcome against the alleged accused.

Table: Reasons given for top 3 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases pending

• **Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
The candidate is a good social worker and has good public support in public and the case foisted against him is purely politically motivated.	The criminal case registered against the candidate is only politically motivated to tarnish his image and the party strongly believe that the candidate has good case to succeed and he will be acquitted in all the cases registered against him in the Court of Law and he is the best candidate among all other individuals to select him as a candidate in the constituency.
In comparison to the other candidates and their history, it was found to be suitable being the candidate has stated that false FIR has been lodged against him	The offences are not grave one seems to be based on political vendetta. His image supported by the local office bearers of the party as clean and good.

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
The selected candidate is a very senior sincere and dedicated party worker	As a senior party worker, he had an edge over other individuals considering the fact that whatever charges are alleged in the charge sheet have no substance and are purely with a vindictive approach and personal vendetta being waged by his political enemies. The case is long pending and the party believes that there will be no adverse outcome against the alleged accused.
The Candidate has an impeccable record in his constituency in-serving the poor and serving the society at large The candidate has been involved in various benefitting the societies and well aware regarding welfare schemes. He well touched with the people of the constituency.	The cases registered against the contesting candidate are political motivated cases, where even charges have not been framed.

Table: Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal cases pending	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
BJP	8	1	13%
BSP	4	0	0%
CPI(M)	5	5	100%
INC	43	42	98%
TDP	121	0	0%
YSRCP	88	7	8%
Janasena Party	10	10	100%

Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal cases pending

*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.

• **Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S.No.	Constituency	Candidate	Party	Cases Total
1	Vijayawada Central	Chigurupati Babu Rao	CPI(M)	37
2	Chirala	Amanchi Krishna Mohan	INC	13
3	Avanigadda	Ande Sri Ramamurthy	INC	12

Table: Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published

• **Other discrepancies in Format C7 of some candidates:**

Name of the Party	Remarks
TDP	For 70% candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. Refer Party Website Link Given Here: https://telugudesam.org/form-c7-general-election-2024/
BJP	For 90% candidates with cases against them the party has given the same word to word reason in the both sections for selection of candidate with criminal background and reason as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates. Refer Party Website Link Given Here: https://www.bjp.org/election-format
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Details of criminal cases for Tenali Sravan Kumar (TDP candidate) are different in Format C-2 (8 cases) and Format C-7 (5 cases) 	

Financial Background

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the **278** candidates with declared criminal cases, **238 (86%)** are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal cases pending:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets
1	Chandrababu Naidu Nara	Kuppam	TDP	19	32	9,31,83,70,656 931 Crore+
2	Narayana Ponguru	Nellore City	TDP	8	11	8,24,07,52,381 824 Crore+
3	Ys Jagan Mohan Reddy	Pulivendla	YSRCP	29	19	7,57,65,03,781 757 Crore+

Table: Top 3 candidates having highest declared assets with criminal cases pending

Analysis of Format C7 – Odisha Assembly Elections, 2024

Political parties analysed:

In the Odisha **2024** Assembly Elections, out of **47** political parties which contested the election, the following **6** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Bharatiya Janata Party
2. Indian National Congress
3. Bahujan Samaj Party
4. Aam Aadmi Party
5. Communist Party of India (Marxist)
6. Biju Janata Dal

Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **575** contesting candidates analysed, **219 (38%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **575** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **172 (30%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**
 - Out of **219** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **169 (77%)** candidates.
 - Out of **172** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **137 (80%)** candidates.
 - For **50 (23%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.

• **Reasons given for top 3 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases pending:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Ramesh Chandra Jena	Sanakhemundi	INC	42	94	Honest and dedicated service for the party and public, best social service, MLA of the said Sanakhemundi Constituency, Ex-DCC President of Ganjam District, president of Ganjam Private Bus Owner's Association Ganjam District.	No other suitable candidate available
2	Braja Kishore Pradhan	Talcher	BJD	31	32	The candidate is a young, energetic leader having huge popularity amongst the people of Talcher of Angul District. He is having mass public contact since he has earlier represented for Talcher Assembly Constituency and his performance was remarkable in the house. He is deeply involved in social activities.	In consideration of his above merits, his selection as a candidate to the 60-Talcher Assembly Constituency is more justified than other individuals without criminal antecedents.
3	Ratikanta Kanungo	Patkura	INC	28	24	Format C7 not published by political party on official website and social media handles	

Table: Reasons given for top 3 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases pending

• **Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
A dedicated ground worker with immense support & vociferously raising his voice against the injustice perpetuated by the system. FIR are against him are politically motivated.	Candidate was selected by consensus among the party workers in the area No other candidate with similar support.
The candidate is a young and dynamic social worker, having mass social contact in his locality. He is a hard worker and always busy in bringing qualifications, achievements upliftment to the youth of the locality.	In consideration of his above merits his selection as a candidate to the Odisha State Assembly is more justified than other individuals without criminal antecedents.
This is a dedicated individual with deep understanding and relentless efforts in social service. They have built strong relationships, earned trust, and achieved significant positive changes in the community, making them the best choice to represent the constituency.	The Party has carefully chosen the current candidate as the most qualified to represent the constituency, believing in their skills and dedication to serve the people and uphold BJP values. With confidence in their ability to bring about positive change, the party stands by its decision to field this candidate for the betterment of the constituency.
This Candidate has been falsely implicated out of political vengeance. He being a popular leader and works for the people throughout the year to ensure their constitutional rights.	He has been chosen as his political activities re- quire to be vindicated by the people to prove that such false allegations are not appreciated. Thus, preference has been given than other candidates having no criminal antecedents

Table: Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending***:

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal cases pending	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
AAP	7	1	14%
BJD	46	4	9%
BJP	100	6	6%
BSP	2	0	0%
CPI(M)	4	0	0%
INC	60	39	65%

Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal cases pending

*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.

• **Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S. No.	District	Constituency	Candidate	Party	Total Cases
1	KENDRAPARA	PATKURA	Ratikanta Kanungo	INC	28
2	PURI	PIPILI	Ashrit Pattanayak	BJP	13
3	SAMBALPUR	RAIRAKHOL	Assaf Ali Khan	INC	10

Table: Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published

• **Other discrepancies in Format C7 of some candidates:**

- Details of criminal cases for **Prakash Chandra Behera (BJD candidate)** are different in **Format C-2 (10 cases)** and **Format C-7 (4 cases)**
- Details of criminal cases for **Laxman Bag (BJP candidate)** are different in **Format C-2 (12 cases)** and **Format C-7 (7 cases)**

Financial Background

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the **219** candidates with declared criminal cases, **149 (68%)** are crorepatis.

- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal cases pending:

S. No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Total Cases	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets (Rs.)
1	Dilip Kumar Ray	Rourkela	BJP	3	2	3,13,53,34,845 313 Crore+
2	Sanatan Mahakud	Champua	BJD	10	23	2,27,67,62,601 227 Crore+
3	Kanak Vardhan Singh Deo	Patnagarh	BJP	2	0	67,30,60,629 67 Crore+

Table: Top 3 candidates having highest declared assets with criminal cases pending

Analysis of Format C7 – Arunachal Pradesh Assembly Elections, 2024

Political parties analysed:

In the Arunachal Pradesh **2024** Assembly Elections, out of **7** political parties which contested the election, the following **5** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Bharatiya Janata Party
2. Indian National Congress
3. Nationalist Congress Party
4. National Peoples Party
5. Peoples Party of Arunachal

Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **124** contesting candidates analysed, **25 (20%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Candidates with Serious Criminal Cases:** Out of **124** contesting candidates analysed belonging to the aforementioned political parties, **22 (18%)** have declared serious criminal cases against themselves.
- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**
 - Out of **25** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **16 (64%)** candidates.
 - Out of **22** candidates with serious criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **14 (64%)** candidates.
 - For **9 (36%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.

• **Reasons given for top 3 candidates** with highest number of total criminal cases pending:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Total Cases	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Tana Tamar Tara	Lekang (ST)	INC	6	7	Format C7 not published by political party on official website and social media handles	
2	Ratu Techii	Sagalee	BJP	6	2	The selected candidate is very dynamic and dedicated party worker and he was a dynamic officer in the PWD Department, Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh. Thereafter he has achieved outstanding success in the field business in the state of Arunachal Pradesh. The cases are politically motivated one. The selected candidate has been actively involved in social service and philanthropic works in the concern constituency for the past many years.	The selected candidate is very dynamic and dedicated party worker. The achievements of the selected candidate is inspirational in the state of Arunachal Pradesh. Other candidates are not as popular as the selected candidate. The Party believe that there will not be any adverse outcome against the selected candidate with respect to the cases.
3	Tai Nikio	Nyapin (ST)	BJP	5	5	The selected candidate is very dynamic and dedicated party worker and the cases are politically motivated one. The selected candidate has been actively involved in social service and public works in the concern constituency for the past many years.	The selected candidate is very dynamic and dedicated party worker. The Party believe that there will not be any adverse outcome against the alleged accused. Other candidates are not as committed to public welfare like the selected candidate.

Table: Reasons given for top 3 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases pending

• **Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending:**

Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
The selected candidate is very dynamic and dedicated party worker and the cases are politically motivated one.	The selected candidate is very dynamic and dedicated party worker. The Party believe that there will not be any adverse outcome against the alleged accused.
Single candidate applied from the said Constituency.	Other candidates are not as popular and also not committed to public welfare like the selected candidate. The charges against the candidate are vague in nature and have no substance therein.

Table: Most commonly stated reasons by political parties for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal cases pending	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
BJP	13	1	8%
INC	5	1	20%
National Peoples Party	2	2	100%
NCP	3	3	100%
Peoples Party of Arunachal	2	2	100%

Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal cases pending

**At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.*

• **Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published:**

S. No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Total Cases
1	Tana Tamar Tara	Lekang (ST)	INC	6
2	Likha Soni	Lekang (ST)	NCP	4
3	Nikh Kamin	Bordumsa-Diyum	NCP	3

Table: Top 3 candidates with highest criminal cases whose reasons for selection have not been published

Financial Background

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the **25** candidates with declared criminal cases, **24 (96%)** are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal cases pending:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Total Cases	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets (Rs.)
1	Ratu Techhi	Sagalee	BJP	6	2	3,72,29,22,157 372 Crore+
2	Nikh Kamin	Bordumsa-Diyum	NCP	3	8	1,53,31,43,903 153 Crore+
3	Likha Saaya	Namsai (ST)	NCP	2	4	1,17,83,36,451 117 Crore+

Table: Top 3 candidates having highest declared assets with criminal cases pending

Analysis of Format C7 – Sikkim Assembly Elections, 2024

Political parties analysed:

In the Sikkim **2024** Assembly Elections, out of **6** political parties which contested the election, the following **3** political parties have been analysed for this report.

1. Citizen Action Party-Sikkim
2. Sikkim Democratic Front
3. Sikkim Krantikari Morcha

Criminal Background

- **Candidates with Criminal Cases:** Out of **94** contesting candidates analysed, **6 (6%)** candidates belonging to the aforementioned political parties have declared criminal cases against themselves.
- **Reasons furnished for nominating candidates with criminal antecedents:**
 - Out of **6** candidates with criminal cases, reasons have been furnished for **4 (67%)** candidates.
 - For **2 (33%)** candidates with criminal background, no reasons for their selection have been provided by political parties.

• **Reasons given for all 4 candidates**

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Reasons for selection of candidate with criminal cases pending	Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected
1	Mani Kumar Subba	RANGANG-YANGANG	Sikkim Democratic Front	2	4	No charges have been framed by the above said court and Trial for the above cases is yet to be trailed and a person is presumed to be innocent unless proven guilty by the competent court of Land. The selected candidate is with vast experience and contributed to the party and the people of Sikkim as a member of the opposition party. His popularity continues to soar despite his above pending case.	In a democratic set up, the choice of the common people which is of utmost importance was kept in mind and the people as well as the Party having chosen the said candidate. No other candidates could match the political status of the chosen candidates.
2	Mani Kumar Sharma	KHAMDONG-SINGTAM	Sikkim Democratic Front	1	2	No charges have been framed by the above said court and Trial for the above cases has not commenced. The selected candidate has vast experience and has contributed to the society and the people of Sikkim, that despite his lucrative medical practice he had given up the same in pursued of standing for the rights of people. This selflessness was strongly received by the people even despite his resignation from the Government as a Minister. Further he is a renowned medical practitioner with vast experience in the field of health and wellbeing. He is also a recipient of numerous awards in the field of medicine.	In a democratic set up, the choice of the common people which is of utmost importance was kept in mind the people as well as the party having chosen the said candidate. No other candidates could match the political status of the chosen candidate.
3	*P S Tamang	RHENOCK	Sikkim Krantikari Morcha	1	1	The Selected candidate despite his age is a veteran politician with vast experience and goodwill. A leader made by the people, for the people. His popularity continues to soar despite his conviction. As Chief Minister, he has taken Sikkim to new heights with touch of humility.	In a democratic set up, the choice of the common people which is utmost importance was kept in mind and the people as well as the Party having chosen the said candidate. No other candidates could match the political status of the chosen candidate.
4	*P S Tamang	SORENG-CHAKUNG	Sikkim Krantikari Morcha	1	1	The Selected candidate despite his age is a veteran politician with vast experience and goodwill. A leader made by the people, for the people. His popularity continues to soar despite his conviction. As Chief Minister, he has taken Sikkim to new heights with touch of humility.	In a democratic set up, the choice of the common people which is utmost importance was kept in mind and the people as well as the Party having chosen the said candidate. No other candidates could match the political status of the chosen candidate.

Table: Reasons given for all 4 candidates with highest number of total criminal cases

****Candidate has contested from more than one constituency**

• **Political parties that did not publish reasons for selection of candidates with criminal cases pending*:**

Political Party	Total no. of contesting candidates with criminal cases pending	No. of Candidates without Format C7	Percentage of candidates without Format C7
Citizen Action Party-Sikkim	2	2	100%
Sikkim Democratic Front	2	0	0%
Sikkim Krantikari Morcha	2	0	0%

Table: Political parties that did not publish Format C7 for candidates with criminal cases pending

*At the time of making this report, format C7 data of some political parties was not available on the websites and social media handles. However, it may have been posted earlier by the parties and removed later.

Financial Background

- **Crorepati Candidates:** Out of the 6 candidates with declared criminal cases, all 6 (100%) are crorepatis.
- **High Assets Declared:** Highest declared total assets of **top 3 candidates**, along with details of their criminal cases pending:

S.No.	Candidate	Constituency	Party	Cases Total	Serious IPC Counts	Total Assets (Rs.)
1	Ganesh Kumar Rai	Melli	Citizen Action Party-Sikkim	2	6	16,68,17,920 16 Crore+
2	Mani Kumar Sharma	Khamdong-Singtam	Sikkim Democratic Front	1	2	14,27,78,965 14 Crore+
3	P S Tamang	Rhenock	Sikkim Krantikari Morcha	1	1	6,69,21,255 6 Crore+

Table: Top 3 candidates having highest declared assets with criminal cases pending

Observations by ADR

I. General:

Functioning of our political parties can only be regulated by adopting stringent measures which are enforced by concerned agencies like the ECI and the law and order machinery. Mere warnings issued to political parties will not help the cause. In 2015, the Supreme Court had left it to the wisdom of the Prime Minister and Chief Ministers of the states to not appoint ministers in their cabinet with criminal backgrounds. However, since 2015, the crime rate in the legislative offices has only escalated further. On 30th August, 2020 the Madras High Court had not only asked the Central Government to ***“enact a law to prohibit candidates with criminal background contesting the elections to the Parliament as well as State legislatures”*** but had also emphasized that ***“the Central Government has to come out with a comprehensive legislation to prohibit persons with criminal background from contesting elections to Parliament, State Legislatures and local bodies”***.

The stipulation that more people who are ***honest, fair, credible, capable and men of character and integrity***, should contest elections and be the key policy makers, holds no ground in the Indian Political System. Over the years, political establishments have completely disregarded or intentionally side-lined the reforms suggested by various committees, citizens and civil societies. It is on record that various recommendations given by several committees dating as far back as 1999, are lying un actioned.

In the Format C7, under the column where ***“Reasons as to why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected”***, it is noticed that in most cases, instead of giving cogent answers to the question, justification is given as to why the candidate in question has been selected.

How casually political parties take the SC and ECI directions is evident from the list of C7 format available on the websites of BJP, INC, AAP, BSP, SP, CPI(M), TDP, BRS and others for the 2024 Lok Sabha and state assembly elections. While giving reasons for fielding candidates with criminal cases, the exact same reasons have been replicated for all candidates.

II. Blatant contempt of the Supreme Court directions:

ADR's analysis of publication of criminal antecedents by political parties reveals major shortcomings in the implementation of the SC judgement. Several political parties, regardless of their current political outreach and popularity, **did not have a functional website** to publish details of candidates with criminal background along with reasons, or they were not uploaded on the websites and on social media platforms which made it difficult to access these forms. There were yet others that had a separate section dedicated for election information, but they either **failed to upload necessary documents or had dysfunctional website tabs**. Notably, even among the few political parties that published Format C7's within the stipulated time period, there were some grave problems which emerged upon analysis of the information provided through these affidavits. These included a) **justifying fielding of tainted candidates with unfounded and baseless reasons like chances of winning, popularity of the person, does good social work, offences not being grave in nature, cases are politically motivated**, b) **repetition of reasons** outlined through forms, not just for candidates within a single political party, but also for those contesting on behalf of other parties; and c) **publication of Format C2 (information with particulars on criminal cases pending against candidates) but not Format C7 (information regarding pending criminal cases along with reasons)**.

Other discrepancies include **omission of crucial information** on affidavits, such as name of candidate and reason for selection (which is the primary purpose of Format C7), as well as **submission of data in incorrect (letter) format**. This is especially of concern in light of the total number of pending cases against the candidates in question, and their categorisation under 'serious criminal cases'. **It is also important to note that for all the State Assembly elections, reasons for inclusion of independent candidates with criminal background has not been provided on any public platform.**

III. Strong muscle and money nexus cannot be reprimanded by mere pious hopes:

Criminal elements have been playing a major role in the electoral process in India both as candidates for elections and as party workers. The nexus between politicians, bureaucrats, and criminal elements in our society has been on the rise, the adverse effects of which are increasingly being felt on various aspects of social life in India. **Such a strong criminal political bureaucratic nexus in our electoral and political process has to be confronted with resolve and determination by ECI and law enforcement agencies.**

The present law i.e. section 8 of the Representation of People’s Act, 1951 and the repeated orders issued by courts have not been able to deter politicians with criminal backgrounds from occupying high offices as MPs, MLAs and Ministers. Conviction rate under our judicial system has been falling over the years. More importantly, the time taken for trials is unduly long. In addition, politicians do not even diligently or properly furnish each and every information as required under Form 26 or without constant reminders and warnings by the Election Commission of India. The result is that the law breakers have become law makers.

IV. Absence of Law, Rules, and Regulations:

There is no well-defined process in the selection of candidates by the political parties. There is no law for regulating the functioning of political parties. There is no way to penalise the office bearers of the political parties in case of any conflict or contravention with rules or laws. Political parties have blatantly refused to come under RTI law. Tickets are given to the candidates for contesting elections on the sole basis of winnability factor. Historically, it has been observed that muscle power and money power make a winning combination. Candidates with criminal background quiet easily make their foray into the Lok Sabha and State Assembly elections as political parties do not hesitate in giving tickets to such candidates.

V. How and when will the contempt action be taken?

In view of the Supreme Court’s orders dated 25th September, 2018 and 13th February, 2020 and as per the ECI’s letter dated 6th March, ***“if a political party fails to submit*** such compliances report with the Election Commission, the ***Election commission shall bring such non-compliance by the political party concerned to the notice of the Supreme Court as being in contempt of this Court’s orders/directions”***. However, there is no information available about any such contempt action having been taken against these political parties. In reality, citizens are not sure whether the ECI has reported to the Supreme Court the non-compliance of its directions by some political parties in the recently held elections. It is also not clear if the ECI even keeps a tab over the submission and maintenance of these forms.

VI. Steps taken by ADR:

- a) ADR had pursued this deliberate act of contempt by political parties of the directions dated 13th February, 2020 and 25th September, 2018 before the Hon’ble Supreme Court wherein the Hon’ble court in its directions dated 17th March 2023 had directed ADR to, ***“pursue its remedies before the Election Commission of India”***.

- b) On **19-06-2023** ADR had filed an application before the Election Commission of India against political parties regarding the wilful disobedience and violation of these mandatory directions highlighting the fact that in spite of the repeated requests and reminders given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other main stakeholders including the Election Commission of India, political parties had completely failed to follow the aforementioned directions during the Assembly Elections held in the years 2023, 2022 and 2021.
- c) The application filed by ADR had sought strict action to be initiated against the defaulting political parties which **had contested 2023 Assembly Elections held in Tripura, Meghalaya, Nagaland, Karnataka, Rajasthan, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh and Telangana; 2022 Assembly elections held in Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Goa, Manipur and Punjab and 2021 Assembly Elections held in the States of West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Kerala, Assam and UT of Puducherry.**
- d) On **21-11-2023**, a **reminder letter** was sent by ADR to the Commission to inquire about the status of the action taken in the light of application dated 19-06-2023. The letter was sent to the ECI during the 2023 State Assembly elections held in the States of **Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Mizoram, Rajasthan and Telangana.** Through its letter, ADR had requested the Election Commission to follow directions of the Supreme Court in its letter and spirit by taking immediate and concrete steps so as to ensure that political parties contesting elections not only publish correct and useful details about candidates selected by them but in doing so parties are also forced to select credible and honest candidates due to necessary public glare because of the availability as well as accessibility of such crucial background information about criminal antecedents amongst voters. However, **no response regarding any action taken by the Commission nor any acknowledgment of the application filed was received.**
- e) On **08-01-2024** ADR along with Gujarat Election Watch had written to the Election Commission highlighting the discrepancies found in C7 and C8 forms during the Gujarat State Assembly Elections, 2022. The letter highlighted the serious discrepancies found while publishing Form C7 and C8 by political parties and candidates. To name a few **publishing of Form C7 in English language only and not in the vernacular language depriving lakhs of voters from crucial background information about the candidates, unfounded reasons while fielding candidates with criminal background, smaller font size, lack of wide publicity as stipulated in the judgment, disparity and ambiguity in publishing of Form C7, no mechanism of cross verification of Form C7 and non compliance of the Supreme Court judgment.** In spite of the gravity of the situation and in spite of highlighting the serious glitches found while furnishing of crucial criminal details against candidates contesting **Gujarat State Assembly Elections**, no response or acknowledgement was received by the ECI.

Recommendations by ADR

There is no dearth of solutions to curb the ever-growing problem of criminality in politics. ***What is required is the courage and will to do the same. Lawmakers will not frame laws that ban the unimpeded and unchecked entry of politicians with criminal cases. Constitutional bodies and institutions will continue to take refuge under reasons like 'lack of power'.*** In fact, on 20th July, 2021 while hearing the contempt petition against publication of reasons for selection of candidates with criminal cases by political parties, the Bench headed by Justice R.F Nariman and Justice B.R Gavai had added, ***"We are certain that the legislative branch will not take this forward, not only in the foreseeable future, but at any time in the future"*** Given the current situation, where all political parties stand united and determined to stall any attempts to bring accountability, transparency, and fairness in our electoral process, it becomes imperative to remind the key duty holders of their role duties in preserving, protecting, and defending the Constitution. The only way to remedy the existing problem of criminalization is to immediately act upon the plausible solutions offered by the judiciary, various committees, civil society, and citizens.

Until and unless these trends are not reined in, our current electoral and political situation is bound to deteriorate further. It is after all the electorate, who has to suffer on account of criminalization and often can do little but helplessly participate in the election of the mighty and moneyed criminal elements. ADR, therefore, proposes following recommendations that need to be acted upon immediately ***without further delay and damage to our Participatory democracy and Rule of Law.***

A) Case specific recommendations:

- a. **Show cause notice:** The Election Commission should take note of the current situation and reprimand political parties and politicians for failure to abide by the lawful directions of the Commission, complete lack of will, reprehensible predilection and absence of required laws. ***A "show cause notice"*** should be sent to those political parties who failed to follow the mandatory directions. In addition, the Commission should also immediately take a strict contempt action against political parties, their office bearers and candidates for blatantly bypassing its 25th September 2018 and 13th February 2020 orders.
- b. **De-registration of Political parties:** The Commission should deregister those political parties who are found guilty of such violation by invoking its powers under Article 324 of the Constitution read with Section 29(A)(5) of the RP Act, 1951.
- c. **De-recognition of political parties:** Failure to abide by the lawful directions of the Election Commission and the Hon'ble Supreme Court should be treated as a serious breach under Paragraph 16A of the Election Symbols (Reservation and Allotment) Order, 1968 and therefore, the Election

Commission of India should invoke its powers under Paragraph 16A read with Article 324 of the Constitution and suspend or withdraw recognition of a recognized political party for its incessant failure and disobedience of the SC directions.

- d. **Officer bearers of a Political Party to file annual information on criminal antecedents:** Under the '*Guidelines and Application Format for Registration of political parties' under Section 29A of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 and 'Registration of Political Parties (Furnishing of Additional Particulars) Order, 1992'* Election Commission of India should not only ask for the information regarding criminal antecedents of the Office Bearers such as President, Secretary, General Secretary, Chairperson, Convenor, Treasurer etc only at the time of registration but also ask each political party to annually file information on criminal antecedents of their Office Bearers. This information should also be made available to the public ***including NIL records*** and should be displayed outside each polling booth during elections.
- e. **List of defaulting political parties to be prepared and shared by ECI:** Election Commission of India is expected to implement the 25th September, 2018 and 13th February, 2020 SC orders in its letter and spirit. The Commission should immediately submit a list of such defaulting political parties to the Supreme Court after each election. It should also list out names of such tainted candidates selected by the political parties along with such reasons for such selection. These lists should be religiously prepared and submitted to the Supreme Court after every election and the same should be uploaded on ECI's website for public inspection.
- f. **Reporting of such contempt to the Supreme Court of India:** The Election Commission should immediately report such default to the Supreme Court during each election. In addition, ECI must ensure that the Supreme Court's directions are being truly implemented by political parties by taking concrete steps in the light of reasons given by political parties in Form C7 and C8, diligent publication of reasons in newspapers, T.V channels, party website etc and strict and constant reminders by ROs to the defaulters.
- g. **Contempt action against its orders by Supreme Court:** The Supreme Court of India being the ultimate custodian of "Justice and Rule of Law" should take note of the current situation and ***reprimand political parties and politicians for such contempt, complete lack of will, reprehensible predilection and absence of required laws.*** In addition, the Supreme Court should also ***immediately take a strict contempt action against political parties, their office bearers and candidates for blatantly bypassing its 25th September 2018 and 13th February 2020 orders.***
- h. **Parties must face consequences for breach:** Political Parties must realize that the aforementioned ***SC directions are mandatory and therefore the compliance is not optional.*** Parties should be held accountable for brazenly defying the Supreme Court's order dated 25th September, 2018 and 13th February 2020. ***There should be a heavy financial penalty levied on them for making insufficient disclosures,***

invalid and common reasons, selection of candidates based on winnability, failing to submit the Compliance Report on time etc. Officer in-charge of a political party pertaining to submission of a compliance report should also be held accountable for such a breach.

- i. **Creation of separate cell to monitor compliance:** ECI should constitute a separate cell for monitoring and audit of C7 and C8 forms submitted by parties during elections in order to minutely check/verify/cross verify the compliance of these forms and appropriate actions should be taken immediately to ensure such compliance. This should also include strict and constant reminders by ROs to the defaulters. **Para 73 of the Supreme Court judgment in Contempt Petition (C) No. 656 of 2020 titled Brajesh Singh Vs. Sunil Arora & Ors** already requires the Commission to take requisite action against defaulting parties as contemplated under the judgment including creation of a separate cell to monitor the required compliances and to promptly apprise the Hon'ble Supreme Court of such non-compliance by any political party.
- j. **Clarification guidelines:** ECI should issue modified guidelines specifically clarifying the ambiguities regarding format, font size, language etc to be used in the newspapers including the vernacular newspapers in the states. **These guidelines need to mention that the format C7 should be published in the same format as given by ECI in its directions dated 6th March, 2020 & 10th October, 2018 and political parties cannot change it or club it together based on their preference.** Uniform format will make it easier for the voter to identify C7 forms in any newspaper.
- k. **A dedicated mobile application:** The Supreme Court had also directed ECI directed to create a **dedicated mobile application** containing information published by candidates regarding their criminal antecedents, **so that at one stroke, each voter gets such information on his/her mobile phone.**
- l. **Extensive awareness campaign:** The Supreme Court had also directed ECI is directed to carry out an extensive awareness campaign to make every voter aware about his right to know and the availability of information regarding criminal antecedents of all contesting candidates. This shall be done across various platforms, including social media, websites, TV ads, prime time debates, pamphlets, etc. In its judgment, the Supreme Court had ordered ECI to create a fund for this purpose within a period of 4 weeks into which fines for contempt of Court may be directed to be paid.

B) Other key recommendations on decriminalisation:

- I. **Criteria for selection of candidates:** There should be a strict criterion for selection of candidates by political parties. As per the **Supreme Court judgment** dated **13th February 2020**, political parties are already required to give **reasons for selection** of candidates and **why other individuals without criminal antecedents could not be selected as candidates**. As per the judgment the reasons as to selection shall be with reference to the **qualifications, achievements and merit of the candidate concerned**, and not mere “winnability” at the polls.
- II. **Disqualification on charges framed:** Problem of criminalization can be tackled if such tainted candidates are outrightly banned from entering the electoral process based on both stage and degree of crime. This can be achieved by **disqualifying candidates from contesting elections to the public offices against whom ‘charges have been framed by court’ for having committed serious criminal offences punishable by imprisonment of at least 5 years, and the case is filed at least 6 months prior to the election in question**.
- III. **Permanent disqualification for heinous offences:** It is reprehensible to have a Lawmakers charged/convicted of heinous crimes making laws for citizens and policies for the nation. **There should be a permanent disqualification of candidates convicted for heinous crimes like murder, rape, smuggling, dacoity, kidnapping, robbery etc.**
- IV. **Prior announcement of candidates contesting elections:** **List of candidates** contesting elections should be **announced at least 3 months prior to elections** and they should be required **to submit affidavits stating specific reasons for changing/joining a particular party and approximate amount to be spent by them in the next elections and of the source thereof**. All this information should be placed in the public domain.
- V. **False affidavit should lead to immediate disqualification:** Furnishing of false information in the affidavits by candidates should not be taken lightly by the ECI. **It is after all, the first and foremost step in the direction of ‘free and fair elections.’** Section 125A of the RP Act,1951 has not been able to deter candidates from furnishing wrong/incorrect information as it only leads to a six months imprisonment or fine or both, and therefore doesn’t attract disqualification. **There should be an immediate disqualification of candidates who furnish misinformation, no information false, information in the election affidavit.**

- VI. **More power to NOTA:** The Supreme Court judgment dated 23rd September, 2013 on provision of NOTA buttons on the EVMs needs to be implemented in its letter and spirit by ensuring a) *if NOTA gets more votes than any of the candidates, none of the candidates should be declared elected, and a fresh election should be held;* b) *in the fresh election, none of the candidates in the earlier election, in which NOTA got the highest number of votes, should be allowed to contest.*
- VII. **Fast tracking of cases for MLAs/MPs:** All pending cases against MPs and MLAs should be fast tracked and brought to conclusion ***within a period of one year as mandated by the Supreme Court orders dated 10th March 2014 and 1st November 2017.*** This will also help in ensuring that the arbitrary and unbridled power given under Section 321 of the Cr.P.C is not misused by the governments of the day by ordering withdrawal of cases pending against powerful politicians, ministers and other rich and powerful people.
- VIII. **Declare Political parties as Public Authorities:** It is the political parties that form the government, man the Parliament, and run the governance of the country. Where bringing political parties under the ambit of Right to Information Act, 2005 will usher transparency and accountability in the functioning of political parties and party leaders at one hand, on the other, it will also give a chance to the citizens to play their part in a democracy by acting as a watchdog. ***Bringing parties under RTI law will not only empower the citizens to question, audit, review, examine, and assess information like inner party elections, criteria for ticket distribution but it will also allow people to seek definite and direct answers from the office bearers for the kind of candidates being fielded by our political parties. Therefore, it is high time that the Supreme Court of India takes note of this current predicament and upholds and implements the 3rd June 2013 CIC order by bringing the parties under the ambit of RTI Act.***
- IX. **A comprehensive law to regulate political parties' affairs:** Political parties are the ultimate repository and guardian of our whole constitutional, democratic, social-economic set up, but ***we don't have a single comprehensive law entirely dealing with political parties. In absence of a comprehensive law, citizens cannot question, appraise and audit the functioning of political class and politicians.*** Therefore, there is a dire need for a comprehensive legislation ***regulating the functioning of political parties, recognition of their party constitution, election at various levels of party organs, conditions for registration and de-registration, compulsory maintenance of accounts, women representation at organisational positions,*** as recommended in the '170th Law Commission Report, Part III, Chapter I' and Chapter 8 of the NCRW report.
- X. **Introduce provisions for inner-party democracy within political parties:** In spite of being one of the largest democracies in the world, our political parties which run this democracy are painfully undemocratic in their functioning. Political parties have miserably failed in their

'Code of conduct' and self-initiated reforms for themselves. Therefore, mandatory provisions should be made to **introduce inner-party democracy, transparent decision-making, ticket distribution, elections of office bearers, financial transparency and stronger organisational discipline** within the political parties. This should include **mandatory secret ballot voting for all elections for all inner party posts and selection of candidates, as suggested by the 170th Law Commission Report.**

- XI. **Annual Report by MPs and MLAs:** Elected MPs and MLAs should be required to submit an '**Annual Report**' to their constituency giving **details of their accomplishments for previous year and the plan for the next year.** This report should be made available at the Lok Sabha/Rajya Sabha/ State Assembly website and on the Election Commission's website.
- XII. **First-past-the-post, "50%+1 of the registered votes cast":** As per the recommendations given by various committees, Law Commission and NCRWC, '**no candidate should be declared elected unless he or she secures more than 50% of the votes cast**'. In the case when no candidate gets the required number of votes, **there should be a runoff between the top two candidates getting maximum votes.** It is worth noting that 50%+1 of the *votes cast* is an easier requirement for being declared elected, a more stringent requirement, and the ideal to ensure appropriate and proper representation.

MyNeta App



ADR Association for Democratic Reforms

myneta.info National Election Watch

Association for Democratic Reforms and National Election Watch present

THE UPGRADED
MYNETA APP

an open data repository run by Association for Democratic Reforms

DOWNLOAD TODAY!

And be a part of our **#MeraVoteMeraDesh** Campaign

Visit our website:
www.adrindia.org
www.myneta.info

GET IT ON
Google Play

Scan the QR code to download


To get information about candidates/parties/MPs/MLAs/corporators/PILs in courts

Journalist Helpline no.-80103-94248
Subscribe to ADR on [WhatsApp](#) for updates: 7840067840

Visit: adrindia.org and myneta.info
Email: adr@adrindia.org

To contact ADR State Partners, visit: <https://adrindia.org/about-adr/state-coordinators>

Social Media Handles



Our Websites

www.adrindia.org

Provides detailed analytical reports of Lok Sabha, State Assemblies, Local Body Elections & Financial Reports and ongoing PILs in courts

www.myneta.info

Provides full information of criminal cases, asset, liability and education details declared by candidates in the self sworn affidavits

Android App

MyNeta

The criminal, financial, educational & other background information self declared by candidates in their affidavits during elections is now available on your mobile phones

Office Address

Association for Democratic Reforms
T-95, C.L. House, 2nd Floor,
Gulmohar Commercial Complex
Gautam Nagar,
Near Green Park Metro Station (Gautam Nagar exit),
New Delhi-110 049
Phone : +91-011-4165-4200

Contact Details

Association for Democratic Reforms/National Election Watch

Media and Journalist Helpline +91 80103 94248 Email: adr@adrindia.org	Maj.Gen. Anil Verma (Retd) Head Association for Democratic Reforms, National Election Watch 011 4165 4200, adr@adrindia.org , anilverma@adrindia.org	Prof Jagdeep Chhokar IIM Ahmedabad (Retd.) Founder Member, Association for Democratic Reforms, National Election Watch jchhokar@gmail.com	Prof Trilochan Sastry IIM Bangalore Founder Member, Association for Democratic Reforms, National Election Watch tsastry@gmail.com
--	--	--	---

DISCLAIMER

Data used in this report has been exactly taken as it is from Format C7 posted by political parties on their official websites/social media handles. **ADR does not add or subtract any information, unless the political parties change the data. In particular, no unverified information from any other source is used.** While all efforts have been made to ensure that the information is in keeping with what is available on the political party websites, in case of discrepancy between information in this report and that given in the official websites of political parties, the information available on the political parties' websites should be treated as correct. Association for Democratic Reforms is not responsible or liable for any damage arising directly or indirectly from the publication of this report.